OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/compreped.89015
Published in: Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics: 11(1); e89015

Peer Review Report for "Predictive Genetic Testing for Hereditary Cancers in Children: An Argumentation"

Author(s): Hazem Al Azzam, Majd T. Mrayyan, Khloud Al Dameery , Omar Al Omari

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 10 Jan 2019
Revised Date: 29 May 2019
Accepted Date: 5 Oct 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 15 Feb 2019

Dear AE,
The English of the manuscript should be revised by a native speaker.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 19 May 2019

Dear AE,
The Paper is innovative and interesting. English is written correctly. But to refuse or accept such an important issue, the number of referrals is low. Please use more referrals to improve work.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 24 May 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.

Kind regards,
Associate Editor

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

24 May 2019

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor in chief

I would like to thank you and the reviewers. Their comments were extremely valuable and it contribute significantly to the quality of the paper. Please see our responses to the reviewers

Comment	Response
The English of the manuscript should be revised by a native speaker	We understand your concern. The document sent again to professional English editing company located in UK to revise the manuscript. Please see Proof-Reading-Service.com Editorial Certification, which is attached
The number of referrals is low. Please use more referrals to improve work	• 11 new references were added [14,15,18,19,20, 21,22,23, 26,27,28]. The in text and end text references were highlighted in yellow in the manuscript • New sentences were added to enhance the manuscript. The sentences along with new references were highlighted in yellow in the manuscript
	Can you please update **Ms Khloud Al Dameery** Affiliation to be [Faculty of Nursing], [*Armed Forces Medical Services School*], [Muscat], [Oman],

Warm Regards,

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 2 Oct 2019

Dear AE,
Thanks to the authors for their valuable study. Method and results are acceptable. Paper has an interesting subject. All comments have been corrected and references have increased.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 5 Oct 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards,
Associate Editor

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 5 Oct 2019

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.