OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/compreped.93342
Published in: Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics: 11(1); e93342

Peer Review Report for "Intranasal Versus Intravenous Naloxone in Opium Poisoning in Children, A Pilot Study"

Author(s): Fariba Farnaghi, Maryam Elyassi, Latif Gachkar, Narges Gholami

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 11 May 2019
Accepted Date: 29 Nov 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 15 Nov 2019

Dear AE,
My Total Score is: (min: 0, max: 20): 20
1. The title of this manuscript is proper and reflects the content appropriately and there is no abbreviation in the title, and it is an original article.
2. The abstract is structured and there are 276 words in the abstract and Keywords are selected in a proper way.
3. In the introduction section, the objectives are stated clearly, and Known, unknown, and purpose are declared.
4. In the Material and method Section Selection of participants, Study design and sample describe sufficiently. The P-value for analyses is reported.
5. In the Result Section interpretation of results and table describe in a proper way.
The discussion and conclusion sections have described the results and their relevance accurately.
6. In general Review: there are proper style and English grammar for writing.
My final conclusion is an acceptable manuscript.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | Revision (0) — 29 Nov 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards,
Associate Editor

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

29 Nov 2019

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.