Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences
Volume 10 · Issue 2 · e111274

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Effect of OnabotulinumtoxinA on the Symptoms and Quality of Life in Women with Urge Urinary Incontinence

Firoozeh Veisi, Hossein Abdi, Masoumeh Bayati

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
16 Nov 2020	16 May 2021	22 Jun 2021	10.5812/jcrps.111274

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Hanieh Feiz Mahdavi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Sahar Rashidi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

3 comments · 18 Dec 2020 – 14 Apr 2021

Hanieh Feiz Mahdavi Reviewer

ACCEPT 18 Dec 2020

Journal Name: Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences
Manuscript title: The Effect of abotulinumtoxinA on the Symptoms and Quality of Life in Women with Urge Urinary Incontinence
Date: 12/18/2020

To whom it may concern,
The paper describes a study to determine the effect of botulinumtoxinA intradetrusor injection on quality of life (QOL) and symptoms in 25 postmenopausal women with urge urinary incontinence. QOL and urge incontinence were significantly improved by this method. The English of the manuscript is simple and fluent. This paper is clearly written, the abstract describes the content accurately, and the reported results are interesting and attractive. The experimental parts were done correctly, and the sample size is adequate. The explanations of the obtained results are satisfactory, and the results are presented in a logical sequence. Also, a good comparison was made between this work and the previously published works. In my opinion, the paper is worthy of consideration for publication in the "Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences."

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 14 Apr 2021

Minor Revision

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 14 Apr 2021

Minor Revision

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

:Reply to reviewers

.Thank you first reviewer for her approvement-1

:Reply to second reviewer-2

.a-The age of patients was corrected

b-In Methods : the sentence of injection in points was changed to injection in 20 points with 1 cm .distance

.c-The two mentioned sentences were removed

.Changed were shown with yellow tape

Thank you

Firoozeh veisi

2 Revision 1

3 comments · 13 Jun 2021 – 22 Jun 2021

Sahar Rashidi Reviewer — ACCEPT 13 Jun 2021

Accept
The issues raised in the comment have been corrected.

Associate Editor — ACCEPT 22 Jun 2021

Accept

Editor-in-Chief — ACCEPT 22 Jun 2021

Accept