Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences
Volume 11 · Issue 2 · e124128

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Designing A Quality Assessment Model for Nursing Services Management in Selected Medical Universities of Iran

Seyede Mehrnaz Mirsoheil, Somayeh Hessam, Shaghayeh Vahdat, Iravan Masoudi Asl

| SUBMITTED | REVISED | ACCEPTED | DOI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 19 Nov 2022 | 29 Nov 2022 | 5 Dec 2022 | 10.5812/jcrps-124128 |

## About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

## Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 2
2 **Revision 1** Mahdieh Montazeri, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 3
**Authors' reply to reviewers** ..... 4
**Reviewer and editor comments** ..... 5

## 1 Original submission

2 comments · 26 Nov 2022 – 26 Nov 2022

### Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 26 Nov 2022

Minor Revision

### Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 26 Nov 2022

Minor Revision

2

# Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

| *Reviewer* | *Comment* | *Answer* | *Place in the text* |
|---|---|---|---|
| *1* | **1. The article needs to be edited grammatically (especially in the Methods section).** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***In whole of text.*** |
| *1* | **2. The implications of the study did not mention.** | **Revised. Implications highlighted in Conclusion.** | ***Page: 11***<br>***Line: 3-7*** |
| *1* | **3. Ethical code did not mention.** | **According to the rules of the journal, the code of ethics is entered in a separate box, when the article is submitted (independent of the text of the article), which is apparently not visible to the respected reviewers.** | ------- |
| *1* | **4. The Keywords should be revised based on the article title.** | **Keywords revised and highlighted based on title and MeSh.** | ***Page: 1***<br>***Line: 32*** |
| *2* | **Abstract**<br>**1. The key aspects have not been captured in Abstract.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***Page: 1***<br>***Line: 22-25*** |
| *2* | **2. Keywords should be selected based on MESH.** | **Keywords revised and highlighted based on title and MeSh.** | ***Page: 1***<br>***Line: 32*** |
| *2* | **Introduction**<br>**3. The objective(s) and cause(s) of the case presentation should more clearly state in Introduction.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***Page: 3***<br>***Line: 4-6***<br>***Page: 3***<br>***Line: 9-11*** |
| *2* | **4. Introduction has proper length but needs more relevant references.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***References: 3-4*** |
| *2* | **5. In the "Introduction", refer to other new sources.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***References: 3-4*** |
| *2* | **Structure**<br>**6. Some of the sub-titles there is not in the format of Journal. They need to be incorporated in original titles.** | **The authors have followed the guidelines of the authors. We have not used sub-headings or extras. Please indicate the specific case.** | ------- |
| *2* | **Materials and methods**<br>**7. Materials and methods require a much more elaborate explanation.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***Page: 3***<br>***Line: 25-30*** |
| *2* | **Discussion**<br>**8. The "Discussion" has not been made reasonable. Comparison with similar papers and studies is weak.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***Page: 10***<br>***Line: 22*** |
| *2* | **9. In the "Discussion" comparison with similar papers and studies is weak.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***Page: 10***<br>***Line: 22*** |
| *2* | **References**<br>**10. Author(s) should add more up to date references to the study.** | **Revised and highlighted.** | ***References: 3,4,12,13*** |

## 2 Revision 1

3 comments · 5 Dec 2022 – 5 Dec 2022

**Mahdieh Montazeri** Reviewer — ACCEPT 5 Dec 2022

Accept

**Associate Editor** — ACCEPT 5 Dec 2022

Accept

**Editor-in-Chief** — ACCEPT 5 Dec 2022

Accept