Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences
Volume 11 · Issue 2 · e128811

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Development of Carbon Cloth/PMG/PAMAM/MWCNTs/ADH Bioanode for Ethanol Biofuel Cell

Ashraf Piryaee, Mahdi Alijanianzadeh, Ahmad Molaeirad, Fariba Mashayekhi Mazar

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
6 Jun 2022	25 Oct 2022	26 Oct 2022	10.5812/jcrps-128811

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission 2
2 Revision 1 Fariba Dashtestani, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 Revision 2 Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reply to reviewers:

Greetings. Please reduce the word count of the main text of the article to 3500 words and resubmit the article.

Response:

The change was made

2 Revision 1

3 comments · 24 Aug 2022 – 15 Oct 2022

Fariba Dashtestani Reviewer

ACCEPT 24 Aug 2022

Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate, although clarification of a few details and provision of a rationale for the use of this particular method of measuring eating disorder symptoms should be provided. Overall, the results are clear and compelling with two possible exceptions. However, there are some comments as follows:
- Page 1: Abstract part: Lines 12 and 15: kcat, KMS, and k were written incorrectly.
- Page 3, Line 70: please capitalize F in the word "Fist".
- Page 4: 2.3. Electrochemical Measurements part: please clarify the electrochemical apparatus that you used. Autolab, EG&G?
- Page 5, Line 177: "3.1. CV Characterization" must be changed to "cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements".
- Page 5, Line 122: "ofcarbon" should be corrected to of carbon.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 15 Oct 2022

Minor Revision

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 15 Oct 2022

Minor Revision

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Answer to reviewers

Thank you for your nice comments. I edited all the comments.

Reviewer 2:

- Page 1: Abstract part: Lines 12 and 15 was miswritten kcat, KMS, and k.

It is edited in the manuscript.

- Page 3, Line 70 please capitalize F in the word "Fist"

It is edited in the manuscript.

- Page 4: 2.3. Electrochemical Measurements part: please clarify the electrochemical apparatus that you used. Autolab, EG&G?

It is edited in the manuscript.

- Page 5, Line 177 "3.1. CV Characterization" must change to "cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements"

It is edited in the manuscript.

- Page 5, Line 122: "ofcarbon" should be corrected to of carbon

It is edited in the manuscript.

3 Revision 2

2 comments · 26 Oct 2022 – 26 Oct 2022

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 26 Oct 2022

Accept

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 26 Oct 2022

Accept