Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences
Volume 11 · Issue 2 · e133719

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Public Usage, Perceived Usefulness, and Satisfaction with E-health Services in COVID-19 Pandemic

Zahra Galavi, Mohammad Hossein Pourasad, Somayeh Norouzi, Yones Jahani, Reza Khajouei

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
26 Nov 2022	17 Dec 2022	19 Dec 2022	10.5812/jcrps-133719

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Referee 2, Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

3 comments · 3 Dec 2022 – 5 Dec 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 3 Dec 2022

Greetings, and thank you to the esteemed editor for selecting me as a reviewer. If the editorial board and the research team deem it appropriate, the following points may be considered:

- In the Results section of the abstract, a comma (,) is used within parentheses in one instance and a semicolon (;) in another. Please make these consistent.
- In Iran Ministry of Health (MOH), the abbreviation does not match the phrase.
- On what basis was the criterion of being over 16 years of age established for inclusion in the study?
- Below Figure 1, a sentence begins with a number (30.2%). A sentence should not begin with a number.
- Percentages are in parentheses in some places and without parentheses in others. Please make these consistent.
- In Tables 2 and 3, the social media column is incomplete.
- Some p-values have a zero before the decimal point, while others do not.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 5 Dec 2022

Minor Revision

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 5 Dec 2022

Minor Revision

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Response to the review:

Many thanks to the respected reviewers for the time they have devoted to reviewing my article.

First reviewer:

Greetings, and thanks to the respected Editor-in-Chief for selecting me as a reviewer. At the discretion of the editorial board and the research team, attention to the following points could be considered:

First comment: In the results part of the abstract, inside parentheses, (,) was used once and (;) once. Please make them uniform.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comment. It was corrected.

Second comment: - Iran Ministry of Health (MOH): the abbreviation of this phrase does not match.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comment. It was corrected.

Third comment: On what basis was the criterion of age above 16 years for entry into the study determined?

Response: Because individuals over 16 years of age used mobile health technologies more.

Fourth comment: Below Figure 1, a sentence begins with a number (30.2%). A sentence should not begin with a number.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comment. It was corrected.

Fifth comment: Percentages are inside parentheses in some places and without parentheses in others. Please make them uniform.

Response: Given the content of the text, in some parts it was necessary. Other parts were corrected.

Sixth comment: In Tables 2 and 3, the social media column has been entered incompletely.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comment. It was corrected.

Seventh comment: The p-value in some places has a zero before the decimal point and in some places does not.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comment. It was corrected.

Reviewer 2:

Comment 1: The current article was an interesting one in the field of the Covid-19 pandemic and it was well written.

Response: Thanks for valuable comment.

Comment 2: The only existing problem is the format of tables 2 and 3.

Response: Thanks for your valuable comment. These tables were modified in the text.

2 Revision 1

4 comments · 18 Dec 2022 – 19 Dec 2022

Referee 2 Reviewer — ACCEPT 18 Dec 2022

Accepted

Referee 1 Reviewer — ACCEPT 19 Dec 2022

Hello dear editor in chief
Thanks for choosing me as a reviewer.
This manuscript is acceptable for publication.

Associate Editor — ACCEPT 19 Dec 2022

Accept

Editor-in-Chief — ACCEPT 19 Dec 2022

Accept