Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Volume 9 · Issue 4 · e103948

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Electrocardiographic Changes and Coronary Findings in Patients with Acute non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Cross-Sectional Study

Fardin Mirbolouk, Arsalan Salari, Fatemeh Riahini, Mani Moayerifar, sama noroozi, Mahboobe Gholipour

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
20 Apr 2020	20 Sep 2020	20 Sep 2020	10.5812/jjcdc.103948

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

1 comment · 26 Jun 2020

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 26 Jun 2020

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJCDC. This study is interesting in the chronic illness field.
Based on our reviewers' comments, your article needs some revisions in all parts of it. I recommend you revise the manuscript and get it back as soon as possible.
With regards.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
Dear Author,
Thank you for your submitting the nice manuscript with the title: Electrocardiographic Changes and Coronary Findings in Patients with Acute non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction. I think this study is an important research in the chronic diseases but need some revision before publication.
-what is your study method? Correlational? Case and control? Descriptive? You can this in the title. has been corrected.
-In the abstract, you should explain more about methodology, your method is unclear. has been corrected.
- In the introduction, you should explain about research gap and problem clearly. has been corrected.
-In the method, I think it was better that this research organizes based on journal guideline. . has been corrected.
-In results you can write in table 3 frequency and percent. N (%) same as table . has been corrected
Is there any novelty in your study? Please describe it in the discussion. Please mention the limitation and strong points of your study. Is there any novelty in your study? Please describe it in the discussion. . has been corrected
-There are some spelling and grammatical errors in the text. Please correct them.
Truly yours. has been corrected

Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:
Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript in JJCDC. This study is interesting in the chronic illness field. Based on our reviewers' comments your article needs some revisions in all parts of it. I recommend you revise the manuscript and get it back as soon as possible.
With regards.

EIC Decision:

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript in JJCDC. This study is interesting in the chronic illness field. Based on our reviewers' comments your article needs some revisions in all parts of it. I recommend you revise the manuscript and get it back as soon as possible.
With regards.

2 Revision 1

2 comments · 15 Sep 2020 – 15 Sep 2020

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 15 Sep 2020

Greetings,
Thank you for making the revisions. A few points in the manuscript still need to be corrected, which I have noted in comments in the attached PDF file. Revise the conclusion section of the abstract. The objective at the end of the introduction does not need a separate heading; it should be stated in the final sentence of the introduction. Move the ethical considerations to immediately before the analysis section, under the heading "Ethical Considerations." Add the study limitations at the end of the discussion. A few other points have also been noted in comments in the attached PDF file. Many thanks.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 15 Sep 2020

Dear Author,
Please make minor revisions to your manuscript based on the attached file and resubmit.
Thannks

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Second-round review comments:

The Conclusion part of the abstract should be corrected. Corrected.
The aim at the end of the Introduction does not need a separate heading; in fact, the last sentence of the Introduction is written as the aim. Corrected.
Ethical considerations should be moved to before the data analysis section and written under a heading with this same name. Corrected.
Study limitations should be added at the end of the Discussion. Corrected.
A few other points have also been commented on in the attached PDF text. Corrected.
Thank you for your efforts, dear respected one

99/6/30

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 20 Sep 2020

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 20 Sep 2020

Dear Author,
This manuscript in the current format is acceptable.
thanks