OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjcdc.114148
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care: 10(3); e114148

Peer Review Report for "Population Age Structures of Nations with COVID-19 and Attributed Deaths"

Author(s): Ali Akbar Mahzoon, Saleh Ghasemi, Ali Dabbagh, Mohammad Akbari, Hadis Ashrafizadeh, Hamideh Javadinasab, Mohammad Esmaeil Akbari

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 5 Mar 2021
Revised Date: 19 Apr 2021
Accepted Date: 21 May 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 4 Apr 2021

Dear AE,
The present manuscript has some problems that require attention before it can be ready for publication.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 13 Apr 2021

Dear EIC,
Thank you for sending your paper to JJCDC. I think the authors should more explain about their method and why they get this country? inclusion and exclusion criteria? which statistical analysis did they use? Please they more explain the novelty of their study in conclusion and write their suggestion.
With regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 13 Apr 2021

Dear Author,
Based on our reviewers' comments you should correct your manuscript, especially in the

method. did you get an ethical code? Please explain your ethical consideration. Please correct our reviewers' comments and resubmit.

With regards.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor

We truly express our appreciation to you and the reviewers of the journal for all your valuable comments. The corrections have been applied completely and explained to the reviewers within the original text of the article, in red font, and submitted as the file *response to reviewers*.

Reviewer #1: Review of article

Associate Editor 1
Dear Author,

Thank you for sending your paper to JJCDC. I think you should more explain about your method and why you get this country?

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

Inclusion and exclusion criteria?

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

Which statistical analysis did you use?

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

Please more explain the novelty of your study in conclusion and write your suggestion.

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

EIC Decision:

Dear Author,
Based on our reviewers' comments you should correct your manuscript, especially in the method.

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

did you get an ethical code? Please explain your ethical consideration.

Thanks for the comment. The requested correction was made and added

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 9 May 2021

Dear AE,
All comments were made.
Thank you

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 21 May 2021

Dear EIC,
All comments were done. I think this manuscript in the current format is acceptable.
With regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 21 May 2021

Dear Author,
Your manuscript is acceptable in the current format.
with regards.