Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Volume 10 · Issue 4 · e116963

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Patients and Nursing Students' Viewpoints on the Importance of Caring Behaviors in a Hospital Affiliated to Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences in Iran

Shahriyar Salehi Tali

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
18 Jun 2021	26 Aug 2021	30 Aug 2021	10.5812/jjcdc.116963

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Ali Hassanpour Dehkordi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 9

1 Original submission

2 comments · 27 Jul 2021 – 28 Jul 2021

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 27 Jul 2021

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJCDC. Based on our reviewers' comments, your manuscript needs some revisions in all sections. Please revise it and resubmit.
With regards

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 28 Jul 2021

Dear Author,
Based on our reviewers' comments, your manuscript needs some revisions in all sections. Please revise it and resubmit.
with regards

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Hi: Editor of the Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care Panel

First of all, we want to thank the reviewers' comments but we try to respond to all the comments correctly.

Reviwere1

Comments of reviewers 1	Responds of author
The field of discussion is weak and only data is repeated	The discussion of the article is augmented by various new article and detected by high light in the text
Comments of reviewers 2	Responds of author
The importance of the issue is addressed but the need for research is not complete	The introduction of the article is revised and try to focus on the students and nursing students also we try to highlight the gap in the study
The study population is students, but the issue has been addressed to nurses	the article is revised and try to focus on the students and nursing students the changes is detected by high light.
Integrated writing is a better way of working than writing separately	The writing of the article is revised by a native language and try to use integrated writing, the total change is detected by high light
The method of determining the sample size is not known and the sample size is not sufficient for descriptive-analytical research	In this study patients who admitted to the educational hospitals and total of the internship nursing students are formed the study population. The sample size of this study were 50 patients and 50 students were selected based on available and Census sampling in the wards of the hospital then paired with together.
The discussion is not enough and should be written more completely and compared with other researches	The discussion of the article is augmented by various new article and detected by high light in the text

2 Revision 1

3 comments · 17 Aug 2021 – 19 Aug 2021

Ali Hassanpour Dehkordi Reviewer

ACCEPT 17 Aug 2021

The author tries to answer all the reviewers' comments very carefully, but this time the paper is ready for publication.

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 19 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
The manuscript requires major revision. First, the title refers to a nursing student, but the abstract and other sections refer to a nurse. The entire manuscript requires English language editing. In some places, the author's intended meaning is unclear. The issues have been noted in Persian in the PDF file of the manuscript. In my opinion, the manuscript is not at all suitable for publication until these fundamental problems are corrected.
Thank you

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 19 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
Please pay attention to the additional corrections provided by our reviewers. I have attached a PDF of your manuscript with my note indicating what you should correct.
With regards.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Title of the article. Should be corrected	The title of the article is based on the title of the research project, and Title of the project Comparison of the views of patients and nursing intern students regarding the importance of caring behaviors
The conclusion should be corrected	**The conclusion was corrected**
The introduction and problem statement should be strengthened. The framework should be corrected based on the journal's structure	**The introduction and problem statement were corrected according to the latest findings** **The framework was corrected based on the journal's structure**
The methodology should be corrected	**The study design and sample size were placed under one heading** **The headings of inclusion and exclusion criteria, as well as validity and reliability, were removed**
Headings for statistical analysis and ethical considerations should be added	**Headings for statistical analysis and ethical considerations were added; then the ethics code was added in the ethical considerations section**
The tables should be corrected	**All tables were corrected according to the requested format**
The discussion should be corrected	**In the discussion, the table numbers were removed.** **Extra headings were removed** **The limitations of the study were added at the end of the discussion**

Greetings, and thanks to the editor-in-chief of the journal and thanks for the very good and scientific comments of the final reviewer

All requested items were corrected

3 Revision 2

2 comments · 30 Aug 2021 – 30 Aug 2021

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 30 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
All comments have been addressed. This manuscript is acceptable for publication in the current format.
With regards.

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 30 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
All comments have been addressed. This manuscript is acceptable for publication in its current format.
With regards.