Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Volume 11 · Issue 1 · e121101

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Comparison of Routine Risk Factors for Atherosclerosis in Arab and Lor Patients

Ahmad Reza Assareh, Bijan Helli, Hoda Mombeini, Marzie Zilaiee, Mahshad Shokuhi Nasab, Asad Khosravi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
14 Nov 2021	3 Dec 2021	4 Dec 2021	10.5812/jjcdc.121101

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 10

1 Original submission

1 comment · 23 Nov 2021

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 23 Nov 2021

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your nice manuscript to JJCDC. Your manuscript needs some revisions, which you should make before publication. I have attached a file containing some notes in Persian; please address them before resubmitting. Please cite relevant articles published in our journal (JJCDC).
With regrads

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

With thanks to the respected editor, all items were corrected and all changes have been shown with track changes.

Comparison of Routine Risk Factors for Atherosclerosis in Arab and Lor Patients

- Please correct the title

Response:

At the request of the respected editor, the title was corrected.

- Please correct the title; atherosclerosis has been repeated twice.

Response:

At the request of the respected editor, the title was corrected.

- The highlights should be deleted. Our journal's routine does not include writing highlights.

Response:
In accordance with the editor's opinion, the highlights were removed.

- Please give the Introduction headings and write it according to the journal format, like the published articles.

:Background
:Objectives
:Methods
:Results
:Conclusions

Please follow the journal format; Background is written

Response:

Following the editor's request, the article was changed according to the latest style.

- What is meant: routine risk factors or routine atherosclerosis? Please check the whole article in terms of English.

Response:
In view of the respected editor's comment, this term was corrected throughout the article.

- Please follow the model of the journal's recent published articles. Please observe the format for writing the Methods of the article

For example, it could have the following headings

3. Methods
3.1 Study design

Population and sampling .3.2

Instruments .3.3

Statistical Analysis .3.4

Response:

The format of the article was changed.

- What were the study instruments? Please explain their validity and reliability

Response:
Following the respected reviewer's comment, this section was revised for better explanation.

- The risk factors that were considered and the measurement criterion for each should be explained.

Response:
The risk factors examined in this study were routine predisposing cardiac risk factors, including lifestyle, smoking, family history, hypertension, metabolic disorders and dyslipidemia, which was edited to present the concept better.

- Write the limitations of your own study, not suggestions for another study: what problem did your study have that you could not control and that probably affected the result?

Response:
Following the editor's comment, the Limitations section was corrected.

- What was the criterion for writing healthy or unhealthy??

Response:
The criterion for a healthy or unhealthy dietary pattern is based on one of the most recent references of ...., which was added to the text.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 27 Nov 2021

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 27 Nov 2021

Dear Author,
Please correct your tables to conform to English conventions.
Your numbers are written in Persian format. In English, decimals are written with a period, not a slash. All numbers, including those in the article text, are formatted in Persian. Please first correct your Office settings and then write the numbers in English format. At the end of the article, the acknowledgments, conflicts of interest, and
authors' contributions must be written in full. Please then resubmit.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

With thanks to the respected editor, all items were corrected and all changes have been shown with track changes.

Comparison of Routine Risk Factors for Atherosclerosis in Arab and Lor Patients

- Please correct the title

Response:

At the request of the respected editor, the title was corrected.

- Please correct the title; atherosclerosis has been repeated twice.

Response:

At the request of the respected editor, the title was corrected.

- The highlights should be deleted. Our journal's routine does not include writing highlights.

Response:
In accordance with the editor's opinion, the highlights were removed.

- Please give the Introduction headings and write it according to the journal format, like the published articles.

:Background
:Objectives
:Methods
:Results
:Conclusions

Please follow the journal format; Background is written

Response:

Following the editor's request, the article was changed according to the latest style.

- What is meant: routine risk factors or routine atherosclerosis? Please check the whole article in terms of English.

Response:
In view of the respected editor's comment, this term was corrected throughout the article.

- Please follow the model of the journal's recent published articles. Please observe the format for writing the Methods of the article

For example, it could have the following headings

3. Methods
3.1 Study design

Population and sampling .3.2

Instruments .3.3

Statistical Analysis .3.4

Response:

The format of the article was changed.

- What were the study instruments? Please explain their validity and reliability

Response:
Following the respected reviewer's comment, this section was revised for better explanation.

- The risk factors that were considered and the measurement criterion for each should be explained.

Response:
The risk factors examined in this study were routine predisposing cardiac risk factors, including lifestyle, smoking, family history, hypertension, metabolic disorders and dyslipidemia, which was edited to present the concept better.

- Write the limitations of your own study, not suggestions for another study: what problem did your study have that you could not control and that probably affected the result?

Response:
Following the editor's comment, the Limitations section was corrected.

- What was the criterion for writing healthy or unhealthy??

Response:
The criterion for a healthy or unhealthy dietary pattern is based on one of the most recent references of ...., which was added to the text.

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 4 Dec 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 4 Dec 2021

Dear Author,
Thank you for your correction. Your manuscript is acceptable for publication in the current format.
Thank you very much indeed.