Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Volume 11 · Issue 2 · e122131

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Association Between Nurses' Knowledge About Palliative Care and Their Life Satisfaction

Senay Karadag Arli

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
26 Dec 2021	19 Feb 2022	21 Mar 2022	10.5812/jjcdc-122131

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Hadis Ashrafizadeh, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2

2 **Revision 1** Referee 1, Referee 2, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3

Authors' reply to reviewers 4

Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

3 comments · 28 Dec 2021 – 15 Feb 2022

Hadis Ashrafizadeh Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 28 Dec 2021

Dear AE,
I am happy to have this opportunity to review this article. This article good designed therefore in my beliefs the article is accepted but several options should be added by the authors:
1- Please mention the items of the Socio-demographic form.
2- Write the minimum and maximum score scales (SWLS, PCKT).
3- For showing the relationship between the nurse's palliative care knowledge and life satisfaction, you should use the regression model.
4- What is your sampling method?
5- Please mention the formula of the sample size.
6- I don't know the causes of the attrition sample size. (why didn't participate all of the nurses?).
7- Please mention the Inclusion and exclusion criteria of participants.
All the best
sincerely yours.

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 14 Feb 2022

Dear EIC,
The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author.
According to the comments, the document needs major revision.
Kind regards,

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 15 Feb 2022

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJCDC. We apologize for the delayed review of your manuscript it was because of changing reviewers. After revising your manuscript please organize it based on published news articles. you should write as below at end of your manuscript.
1. Acknowledgments
2. Conflict of Interest
3. Financial Support
5. Ethical consideration
6. Authors' Contributions
Please revise your manuscript and resubmit it as soon as possible.
Thank you very much.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
hello,
I am happy to have this opportunity to review this article. this article good designed therefore in my beliefs the article is accepted but several options should be added.

1- Please mention the items of the Socio-demographic form.

The Socio-demographic Form: The form which was prepared by the researcher is composed of 8 questions that collected information about the participants' personal and professional features.

2- Write the minimum and maximum score scales (SWLS, PCKT).

You can see them in Table 2. PCKT and SWLS Total Mean Scores

3- For showing the relationship between the nurse's palliative care knowledge and life satisfaction, you should use the regression model.

I used Spearman correlation tests.

4- What is your sampling method?

No sampling was used, and the study was conducted with 110 nurses who worked in the Emergency, General Surgery, Orthopedics, Plastic Surgery, and Intensive Care unit of a hospital. The sample included 64 nurses who agreed to participate in the study. Totally, 58% of the target universe has been reached. The nurses who had sick leave or were on leave between these dates were not involved in the study.

5- Please mention the formula of the sample size.

The target population of this study was all nurses (n=110) who worked in the Emergency, General Surgery, Orthopedics, Plastic Surgery, and Intensive Care unit of a hospital. The sample included 64 nurses who agreed to participate in the study.

6- I don't know the causes of the attrition sample size. (why didn't participate all of the nurses?).

The nurses who had sick leave or were on leave between these dates were not involved in the study.

7- Please mention the Inclusion and exclusion criteria of participants.

The present study included all nurses who volunteered to participate in the study.

All the best
sincerely thanks.

Reviewer 2:
Dear Author (s)
Thank you for submitting this valuable manuscript to the Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Care Disease. This paper is interesting in terms of subject but needs to be revised in terms of writing style. This paper needs a major revision in different sections which are as follows.

Introduction

1. Introduction is incomprehensible. It is not clear what the main issue is?

This study is considered to be important because it reveals the relationship between nurses' palliative care knowledge levels and their life satisfaction and guides future studies to be conducted in this field.

2. Semantic relationships of paragraphs are not clear.
3. The importance of research and the main gap is not expressed well.
4. Please arrange the introduction in line with the main purpose of the study.

The purpose of this study is to identify the relationship between knowledge about palliative care and life satisfaction of nurses, who are the center of surgical care.

5. Literature review is not stated.
6. Why did you choose these two variables to examine their relationship?

I wondered them.

Methods

1. The formula for calculating sample size is not stated.

No sampling was used, and the study was conducted with 110 nurses who worked in the Emergency, General Surgery, Orthopedics, Plastic Surgery, and Intensive Care unit of a hospital. The sample included 64 nurses who agreed to participate in the study. Totally, 58% of the target universe has been reached.

The nurses who had sick leave or were on leave between these dates were not involved in the study.

2. How many people have been the target population?
3. Explanations concerning the sampling are not enough.

Totally, 58% of the target universe has been reached. The nurses who had sick leave or were on leave between these dates were not involved in the study.

4. The time of study and sampling is not mentioned.

This study was conducted in a hospital located in eastern Turkey between November and December 2018.
5. What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria?

The present study included all nurses who volunteered to participate in the study.

Discussion
1. This section should be refined.
2. It is better to start the discussion with the main aim of the study and a summary of the main results and then discuss the findings in order and separately.
3. Each paragraph should be initiated with your findings. You have strayed from the main purpose of the study. It is not clear where you mentioned your study findings and where you mentioned the findings of others' studies.
4. It is better the studies with confirming and conflicting results are also noted and the cause of observed conflict is explained.
5. How different are your finding from others?
6. What is the reason for the lack of statistical significance of some variables?
7. What are the implications of your results?

In conclusion, nurses' general knowledge about palliative care was at medium level, and their life satisfaction was above medium level.
8. Make a conclusion in line with the main purpose of the research.

We are waiting for your revised article. Thanks

> Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:
Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJCDC. Based on our reviewers'

comments your manuscript needs some revision in all parts. please revise your manuscript and resubmit.
Thank you very much

> EIC Decision:

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJCDC. We apologize for the delayed review of your manuscript it was because of changing reviewers. After revising your manuscript please organize it based on published news articles. you should write below things end of your manuscript.
1. Acknowledgments
2. Conflict of Interest
3. Financial Support
5. Ethical consideration
6. Authors Contributions
Please revise your manuscript and resubmit as soon as possible.
Thank you very much.

2 Revision 1

4 comments · 19 Feb 2022 – 21 Mar 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

ACCEPT 19 Feb 2022

Dear AE,
Most of the reviewers' opinions have been applied, however, the discussion part did not include the changes considered by the reviewers.
In my opinion, the objections of the article are few and other cases have been applied, so it is acceptable.
Thanks

Referee 2 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 17 Mar 2022

Dear AE,
In my opinion, no corrections have been made to the main text of the manuscript. it should be corrected before publication.
Thanks

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 21 Mar 2022

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 21 Mar 2022

Dear Author,
This manuscript is acceptable for publication. there is some English editing note that you should correct in the proof. You should be in contact with the journal homepage for correction in the proof stage.
With regards.