Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care
Volume 13 · Issue 1 · e138664

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Follow-up of Symptoms of Patients with Type 1 Bipolar Disorder After Home Nursing Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Reza Zeyghami, Arghavan Raeisolhagh, Mehdi Ranjbaran

| SUBMITTED | REVISED | ACCEPTED | DOI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 28 Jun 2023 | 14 Oct 2023 | 16 Oct 2023 | 10.5812/jjcdc-138664 |

## About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

## Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 3
Authors' reply to reviewers ..... 4
Reviewer and editor comments ..... 6
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief ..... 7
Authors' reply to reviewers ..... 8
Reviewer and editor comments ..... 10

## 1 Original submission

2 comments · 5 Aug 2023 – 10 Aug 2023

### Associate Editor

REJECT 5 Aug 2023

Dear author, this study is a part of your clinical trial study, which cannot be reviewed on its own. If you send the entire clinical trial article, the article will enter the review phase.

### Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 10 Aug 2023

Dear Author,
According to our associate editor, your manuscript is just a part of the RCT study. If you have published the first part of this study, please cite that article as stage one and explain the method. Please write an abstract based on CONSORT and draw a CONSORT diagram based on the 2010 version. You should revise your manuscript based on our guidelines and resubmit.
Thank you

2

# Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

| ***Reviewer*** | ***Reply to reviewer*** |
| --- | --- |
| *Based on our associated editor, your manuscript is just a part of the RCT study, If you published the first part of this study. Please cite that article as stage one and explain the method. Please write an abstract based on CONSORT and draw a CONSORT diagram based on the 2010 version. You should revise your manuscript based on our .guidelines and resubmit* | ***Thanks for the comments of the respected reviewer, the answers to the comments are as follows***<br><br>In the method section, the first phase of this study was explained, and the consort diagram was added at the end of that section, and the published article from the first phase of this study was also highlighted .in the references |

## 2 Revision 1

2 comments · 3 Oct 2023 – 7 Oct 2023

### Associate Editor

REJECT 3 Oct 2023

Unfortunately, your manuscript has been denied publication in JJCDC as it is not appropriate for this journal.

Thank you for considering JJCDC for the publication of your research. I hope the outcome of this specific submission will not discourage you from submitting future manuscripts.

### Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 7 Oct 2023

Dear Authors;
This study, titled “Follow-up of Symptoms of Patients with Type 1 bipolar disorder after
Home nursing care”, is of interest in the field of chronic diseases but needs some revisions before publication.
As you mentioned, your study is an RCT, so you should rewrite your manuscript based on CONSORT-2010 tools. All RCTs should be organized based on the CONSORT checklist. Please get this form and organize your manuscript based on it.
- Please see the JJCDC guidelines and published articles and organize your manuscript accordingly.
- Please correct the title based on the RCT method. Add “Randomized controlled trial”.
- You can use common abbreviations in your text, for example, Bipolar 1 disorder (BID).
- Please check your keywords against the MeSH database. It seems that the keywords need some revisions.
- Please explain more about the novelty of your article in the introduction and discussion.
- The design of the study has not been explained clearly. Please pay attention to the CONSORT flowchart.
- What was your sample size formula?
- What was your sampling strategy? It is a critical point for external validity in your study. Please explain your inclusion and exclusion criteria in detail. Please include your IRCT in the study methods.
- The reliability and validity of the measurement tools were unknown, and your intervention should be explained more precisely.
- Please report the effect size of your study.
- There are some spelling and grammatical errors in the text. Please correct them.
- Please add suggestions or implications of the study.
With best wishes.

3

# Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

| ***Reviewer*** | ***Reply to reviewer*** |
| --- | --- |
| | ***Thanks for the comments of the respected reviewer, the answers to the comments are as follows*** |
| *Please see the guideline of JJCDC and published articles and organize your manuscript based on it.* -1 | *It was organized according to the instructions.* -1 |
| *Please correct the title based on the RCT method. add -Randomized controlled trial* -2 | was added -2 |
| *You can use common abbreviations in your text, for example, Bipolar 1 disorder (BID)* -3 | It was done according to the comment. -3 |
| *Please check your Keywords with the Mesh database. It seems that the keywords need some revisions* -4 | .It was done on a mesh basis -4 |
| *Please explain more about the novelty of your article in the introduction and discussion.* -5 | .Added to the description -5 |
| *The design of the study has not been explained clearly, please pay attention to the CONSORT follow chart.* -6 | The dispute plan was modified based on consort. -6 |
| *What was your sample size ?formula* -7 | .Added in method section -7 |
| *What was your sampling strategy; it is a critical point for external validity in your study.* -8 | .Added in method section -8 |
| *Please explain your inclusion and exclusion criteria in detail. please write your IRCT in the method of study.* -9 | *Validity and reliability were explained in the method section.* -9 |
| *The reliability and validity of the measurement tools were unknown and your intervention should explain more exactly.* -10 | *Effect size was added in the method section.* -10 |
| *Please bring the effect size of your study.* -11 | *It was corrected* -11 |
| *There are some spelling and grammatical errors in the text. Please correct them.* -12 | *Added to the conclusion section.* -12 |
| *Please add suggestions or implications of the study.* | |

## 3 Revision 2

1 comment · 16 Oct 2023

### Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 16 Oct 2023

Dear Author,
Thank you for your revision. This manuscript in the current version is acceptable.
Thank you