OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jentashapir Journal of Cellular and Molecular Biology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjcmb.116225
Published in: Jentashapir Journal of Cellular and Molecular Biology: 12(2); e116225

Peer Review Report for "Phosphoinositide Signal Transduction Pathway and Osteosarcoma Metastases"

Author(s): Vincenza Rita Lo Lo Vasco

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 15 May 2021
Revised Date: 7 Jun 2021
Accepted Date: 7 Jun 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0)

24 May 2021

Dear AE,
The topic is interesting, but there are some points that should be addressed by the authors and will improve the quality of the submitted manuscript:
1. The manuscript requires English polishing.
2. As this is a simple narrative review and in the text, a lot of pathways are described, I suggest that the authors present and draw some simple figures with appropriate figures legend for more understanding. I saw the figure legend, but the figure doesn't exist in the test.
3. At the end of the introduction, it is better that the authors clearly state the aim of the study.
4. As the authors discussed the metastases process in cancer, I suggest that the authors at first describe "Metastasome" as a new term in this field. (please see more details in the following references: (Seminars in Cancer Biology 60 (2020) 1–13 and Cancer Microenvironment (2019) 12:1–2)
Good Luck

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0)

31 May 2021

Dear AE,
The submitted manuscript has considered both the PI signaling pathway and a protein called Erzin in OS. The paper has been written in an acceptable format and summarizes the current state of understanding on mentioned topic nicely. I think it can be a good choice for publication in the journal.
Thanks.

Seyyed Ali Mard: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 31 May 2021

Dear EIC,
A minor revision is needed.
Thanks.

Seyyed Ali Mard: EIC | **Revision** (0) 31 May 2021

Dear Authors,
Thank you for considering JJCMB for publishing your valuable manuscript. In accordance with forwarded comments by reviewers, your manuscript is worth publishing in JJCMB. However, reviewers of this journal raised minor comments that need to be revised. Mentioning ORCID of authors is mandatory in this journal. I realized that the abstract of this review has already been presented in an international seminar, please mention it in acknowledgment. This journal expects to receive the revised paper within one week.
Yours Sincerely
EIC, Mard

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editors,

I wish to thank the reviewers for useful comments and suggestions.

Reviewer 1:
Dear authors
1. The manuscript requires an English polishing.
the manuscript was revised by a mother English scientist and the revisions were indicated in the text as revisions

2. As this is a simple narrative review and in the text a lot of pathways are described, I suggest that the authors present and draw some simple figures with appropriate figures legend for more understanding. I saw the figure legend, but the figure isn't exist in the test.

The figure was added
3. At the end of introduction, it is better that the authors clearly state the aim of the study.

The aim of the study was added and highlighted
4. As the authors discussed the metastases process in cancer, I suggest that the authors at first describe "Metastasome" as new term the in this field.(please see more details in the following references: (Seminars in Cancer Biology 60 (2020) 1–13 and Cancer Microenvironment (2019) 12:1–2)

The metastasome was described and suggested references were added and highlighted
Good Luck
Reviewer 2:
The submitted manuscript has considered both PI signaling pathway and a protein called Erzin in OS . The paper has been written in a acceptable format and summarizes the current state of understanding on mentioned topic nicely. I think, it can be a good choice for publication in journal.

Best regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Seyyed Ali Mard: EIC | **Revision** (1) 7 Jun 2021

Dear Authors,
Thanks for considering JJCMB to publish your manuscript. I realized that you revised your manuscript in accordance with the forwarded comments by reviewers. Therefore, I am pleased to inform you that your revised manuscript is now accepted for publishing in this journal.
Best Regards
EIC, Dr. S.A. Mard