OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjm.100573
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology: 13(9); e100573

Peer Review Report for "Prevalence of High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Types Among Women Screened for Cervical Cancer in Yazd, Iran, and Comparison of Cytology, Histology, and Colposcopy Results"

Author(s): Mojgan Karimi-Zarchi, Seyed Mehdi Kalantar, Afsarosadat Tabatabai, Mansour Moghimi, Mohammad Shayestehpour, Masoud Doosti

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	30 Dec 2019
Revised Date:	4 Nov 2020
Accepted Date:	6 Nov 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 22 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
The manuscript No. 100573 needs to be revised as follow before considering for publication:
1- The results of Pap smear, colposcopy, and histology examination should be written for both women infected with HPV high-risk types and HPV negative. It means that this information should be available for a total of 402 samples not only for HPV HR-positive samples.
2- The authors were written in the manuscript that Demographic data, including age, number of pregnancies, age at first intercourse and duration of marriage were collected by a questionnaire. However, no analysis was done in this regard.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 25 Sep 2020

Dear EIC,

The aim of this study was been to investigate papillomavirus in Iran, but only the results of Yazd province were presented.
In the methods section, it is written very generally and the golden standard is not written in the method of diagnosis for all procedures. In the statistical analysis section, the type of tests is not mentioned.
The results part is not informative.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 2 Oct 2020

Dear Authors,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJM. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below (Pleases find attached files). The reviewers recommend the reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments. Please answer point by point to reviewer's comments on a separate sheet under WORD format and write your modifications in the revised version (WORD format) in colored or highlighted letters. Your answers to reviewers' queries are not only for the Editorial Board but for the readers and should be included, when appropriate, in the manuscript for improvement and a better understanding.
When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made a point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. In addition, JJM INSTRUCTION attached to the mail, Pleases find it.
Note:
Please add ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Pleases follow the below link for the process. http://single.demo.pub/en/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Response to Review Comments

(Manuscript ID: 100573)

I am very much thankful to the reviewers for their deep and thorough review. I have revised my present manuscript in the light of their useful suggestions and comments. I hope my revision has improved the manuscript to a level of their satisfaction. Number wise answers to their specific comments/suggestions/queries are as follows.

Response to Reviewer #1 Comments

The manuscript No. 100573 needs to be revised as follow before considering for publication:
Comment 1- The results of Pap smear, colposcopy and histology examination should be written for both women infected with HPV high-risk types and HPV negative. It means that this information should be available for the total of 402 samples not only for HPV HR-positive samples.
Response: We completed data in the result section as you suggested. The results of pap smear, colposcopy and histology examination have written for both women HPV positive and HPV negative.

Comment 2- The authors were written in the manuscript that Demographic data, including age, number of pregnancies, age at first intercourse and duration of marriage were collected by a questionnaire. However, no analysis was done in this regard.

Response: We added a Table to the result section (Table 1) including demographic data and statistical analysis as you suggested.

Response to Associate Editor's Comments

Comment 1-The aim of this study was been to investigate papillomavirus in Iran, but only the results of Yazd province were presented.
Response: The present study was aimed to determine the prevalence of high-risk HPV types among women screened for cervical carcinoma in Yazd, and to compare cytology, histology and colposcopy results. We have written “in Yazd “in the title and the objective section of manuscript.
Comment 2-In the methods section, it is written very generally and the golden standard is not written in the method of diagnosis for all procedurs. In the statistical analysis section, the type of tests is not mentioned. The results part is not informative.
Response: We described the type of statistical tests in the statistical analysis section. We reviewed and changed the results section to be more informative.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 6 Nov 2020

Dear authors
According to the associated editor report, your manuscript is accepted for publishing in JJM and sent to the publisher for the next process.
Note:
Please add ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf.
Thanks.