OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjm.108540
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology: 14(2); e108540

Peer Review Report for "Improved Visual Detection of *speB* Gene in *Streptococcuspyogenes* Isolates by Real-Time Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification Turbidimetry Method"

Author(s): Azi Simon Simon, Suresh Kumar Subbiah, Leslie Thian Lung Than, Malina Osman , Rukman Awang Hamat

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 16 Aug 2020
Revised Date: 28 Apr 2021
Accepted Date: 30 Apr 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 23 Apr 2021

Dear EIC
The manuscript entitled: Improved Visual Detection of speB gene in Streptococcus pyogenes
Isolates by A Real-Time Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (RT-LAMP) Turbidimetry Method.
This research is interesting. Manuscript well written and arranged. There are only a few comments should be done by the authors as:
- Add a full name for ARF or CRHD for the first time then abbreviated them.
- Delete Table 1 and put its data in text.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 23 Apr 2021

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJM. I have completed the review of your

manuscript and a summary is appended below (Pleases find attached files). The reviewers recommend the reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments. Please answer point by point to reviewer's comments on a separate sheet under WORD format and write your modifications in the revised version (WORD format) in colored or highlighted letters. Your answers to reviewers' queries are not only for the Editorial Board but for the readers and should be included, when appropriate, in the manuscript for improvement and a better understanding.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made a point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. In addition, JJM INSTRUCTION attached to the mail, Pleases find it.

Note:

Please add ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Pleases follow the below link for the process. https://sites.kowsarpub.com/jjm/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html

Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

REPLY LETTER TO REVIEWER'S COMMENT

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology

REPLY LETTER TO REVIEWER;S COMMENT
Date: 25/04/2021

Dear Editors,

Thank you for giving the opportunity to publish in your esteemed journal. Herewith our replies to the reviewer's comment. Thank you for the suggestions.

We have removed the Table 1 and included the information in Line 118-123 as highlighted in red.

The abbreviations have also been corrected as follows:

acute renal failure (ARF)

chronic rheumatic heart disease (CRHD)

Thanking you in advance,

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 30 Apr 2021

Dear authors
According to the associated editor report, your manuscript is accepted for publishing in JJM and send to the publisher for the next process.
Note:
Please add the ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. For more details: https://sites.kowsarpub.com/jjm/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
Thanks.