OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjm.122074
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology: 15(1); e122074

Peer Review Report for "Upper Limb Ischemia Following COVID-19 Infection: A Case Report"

Author(s): Mohsen Arabi, Hazhir Heidari Beigvand, HamidReza Samimagham, Nastaran Salimi, Mitra Kazemi Jahromi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 27 Dec 2021
Revised Date: 5 Feb 2022
Accepted Date: 5 Feb 2022

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0)

12 Jan 2022

Dear AE,
Comments for the authors:
In general, the manuscript has reported a case of Covid-19 patients with upper limb ischemia confliction and left brachial artery thrombosis.
- The title clearly reflects the manuscript content.
- Abstract: The abstract is adequate, describing the main findings significantly.
- Introduction: The message is highlighted enough.
- Case presentation is written well.
The text was written well; however, I noticed some grammar and typo mistakes throughout the text like “despite of”. Please double-check the text.
Since the beginning of this viral pandemic, there have been reports of a correlation between the severity of the infection and coagulation disturbances. It is an important issue, especially for the notice of the medical section.
This report has merit for publication after minor revision.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 19 Jan 2022

Dear EIC,
The manuscript entitled"A case of upper limb ischemia following COVID-19 infection" was reviewed. I believe that this report has merit for publication after minor revision.
The authors should double-check the report. There are some grammar and typo mistakes throughout the text.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 22 Jan 2022

Dear Authors,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJM. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below (Pleases find attached files). The reviewers recommend the reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments.
1. Please answer point by point to the reviewer's comments on a separate sheet under WORD format and write your modifications in the revised version (WORD format) in colored or highlighted letters.
2. Your answers to reviewers' queries are not only for the Editorial Board but for the readers and should be included, when appropriate, in the manuscript for improvement and a better understanding. Moreover, add line numbers for text and put suitable line numbers for each response.
3. When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made a point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.
4. In addition, JJM INSTRUCTION is attached to the mail, pleases find it.
5. Please add the ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Pleases follow the below link for the process.
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/jjm/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
Regrads

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor-in-chief

Thank you very much for your email dated regarding the preliminary revision of our manuscript entitled "**A case of upper limb ischemia following COVID-19 infection** "and the opportunity to revise and resubmit the manuscript. We have complied with all reviewers' comments and hope that the manuscript is now suitable for publication in your journal.

Response to Review

Reviewers' Comments:

Associate Editor 1:

The manuscript entitled "A case of upper limb ischemia following COVID-19 infection" was reviewed . I believe that this report has merit for publication after minor revision.
Please double check the report. There are some grammar and typo mistakes throughout the text.

A: We checked the manuscript again, edited and corrected all the grammar errors.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 5 Feb 2022

Dear EIC
The manuscript was completely revised based on reviewers and associated editor comments and it is acceptable for publishing in JJM.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 5 Feb 2022

Dear Authors,
I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has been accepted for publication in the JJM. Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you directly. Please remember to always include your manuscript number, whenever inquiring about your manuscript. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check. When you receive the proof, please check all names and affiliations very carefully, as these cannot be corrected once the article is published. Moreover, please add the ORCID number to your and your collages account as soon as possible. For more details
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/jjm/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
We appreciate you submitting your manuscript to JJM and hope you will consider us again for future submissions.
Regards