OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brief.land/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjm.90209
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology: 12(12); e90209

Peer Review Report for "Determination Antimicrobial Resistance Profile and Prevalence of Class 1 and 2 Integron Resistance Gene Cassettes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Strains Isolated from Hospitalized Patients in Markazi Province, Iran"

Author(s): Mana Shojapour, Mahboobe Mirnezami, Ehsanollah Ghaznavi-Rad, Hamid Abtahi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 3 Feb 2019
Revised Date: 19 Jan 2020
Accepted Date: 23 Jan 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 1 Jul 2019

Dear EIC,
The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author. According to the comments, the document needs major revision.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 1 Jul 2019

Dear Authors
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJM. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The reviewers recommend reconsideration

of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments. Please answer point by point to the reviewer's comments on a separate sheet under WORD format and write your modifications in the revised version (WORD format) in colored or highlighted letters. Your answers to reviewers' queries are not only for the Editorial Board but for the readers and should be included, when appropriate, in the manuscript for improvement and a better understanding.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made the point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. In addition, JJM INSTRUCTION is attached to the mail, Please find it.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor,

Thanks for the comments on the manuscript entitled “Determination antimicrobial resistance profile and Prevalence of Class 1&2 Integron Resistance Gene Cassettes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains isolated from hospitalized patients in central of Iran”. They can surely improve the quality of our article. Below, we have addressed all the comments of reviewers per section. We hope that you consider our manuscript for publication in your journal.

associate Editor 1:

1. The abstract does not state the main findings and the principal conclusion of the study.

Answer: it was done and highlighted in manuscript.

2. In the introduction section, the author must summarize the problem to be addressed, give background on the subject, discuss previous research done on the subject, and explain in no uncertain terms exactly what this paper will address, why, and how.

Answer: All of the comments are corrected and highlighted in manuscript.

3. In the method section, the author should thoroughly describe the methods they used to investigate the problem, and any materials used should be documented.

Answer: it was done and highlighted in manuscript.

4. The figures and tables should be briefly explained. The author should not include the raw data but should summarize it with text and tables. Adequacy of analysis is poor.

Answer:All items were correctedand highlighted in manuscript.

5. In the discussion section, the author should restate the problem he was attempting to address, and summarize how the results have addressed it. The author should discuss the significance of all the results, and interpret their meaning. The similarities and differences with other relevant studies are not challenged.

Answer:The sections mentioned were added to the discussion and highlighted in manuscript

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 23 Jan 2020

Dear authors,
According to the associated editor report, your manuscript is accepted for publishing in JJM and sent to the publisher for the next process.
Note:
Please add the ORCID number to you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Please follow the below link for the process. http://single.demo.pub/en/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
Thanks.