OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/jjm.92549
Published in: Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology: 13(3); e92549

Peer Review Report for "Transmission Rates of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* Infections from Pregnant Women to Newborns, Tehran, Iran"

Author(s): Abdoulreza Esteghamati, Ali Mazouri, Shirin Sayyahfar, Khadijeh Khanaliha, Faezeh Haghighi, Mahmood Faramarzi, Morteza Haghighi Hasanabad

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	23 Apr 2019
Revised Date:	1 Mar 2020
Accepted Date:	4 Mar 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 8 Nov 2019

Dear AE,
It is suggested to add the values of the components used in the mPCR reaction (DNA and others). Reference 12 is unrelated to the topic study.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 23 Jan 2020

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)** 11 Feb 2020

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JJM. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below (Pleases find attached files). The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments. Please answer point by point to reviewer's comments on a separate sheet under WORD format and write your modifications in the revised version (WORD format) in colored or highlighted letters. Your answers to reviewers' queries are not only for the Editorial Board but for the readers and should be included, when appropriate, in the manuscript for improvement and a better understanding. When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. In addition JJM INSTRUCTION attached to mail, Pleases find it.

Note:

Please add ORCID number into you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Pleases follow below link for the process. http://single.demo.pub/en/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html

Regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor/ reviewer

Good time for you

About the revision response of manuscript encoded [92549], entitled " Transmission Rates of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae Infections from Pregnant women to Newborns, Tehran, Iran " all revisions were corrected based on reviewer, AE1 and AE2 comments as described below.

I hope to this format is appropriate for publish in JJM.

Yours sincerely

Reviewer's comments:

1- It is suggested to add the values of the components used in PCR reaction (DNA and others).

(Briefly, the 50µl Duplex PCR reaction mixture was consisted of 3µl from each extracted DNA, 25µl of the master mix solution (Cinnagen Ltd Co., Iran), 1.5µl of each specific oligonucleotide primer and 13µl distilled water. In addition, the reaction involved one cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 35 sec, annealing at 55.6°C for 40 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 min followed by a last cycle extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplification reactions were performed on a Labcycler PCR system (SensoQuest).)

2- Reference 12 is unrelated to the topic study.

It was deleted

AE1's comments:

1- Add more litterateurs about the prevalence of disease in Iran.

(A previous study has reported that the frequency of ophthalmia neonatorum among hospitalized neonates of 2 hospitals in Tehran is about 4.9% (12).)

2- Add ethic code number.

(Code number: 2197)

AE2's comments:

1- Add ORCID number for all authors in title page

The ORCID numbers were added for all authors.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 4 Mar 2020

Dear authors
According to the associated editor report, your manuscript is accepted for publishing in JJM and sent to the publisher for the next process.
Note:
Please add the ORCID number to you and your collages account, then automatically appear in your published papers in JJM both in HTML and pdf. Please follow the below link for the process. http://single.demo.pub/en/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#orcid.html
Regards