OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/jkums.107638
Published in: Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences: 25(1) ; e107638

Peer Review Report for "Effects of Problem-solving Skills Training on the Anxiety and Sleep Quality of Patients After Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery"

Author(s): Sepideh Omidi, Keivan Kakabraee, Asadollah Amiripour

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	18 Jul 2020
Revised Date:	21 Nov 2020
Accepted Date:	19 Jan 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

بنام خدا

با سلام و احترام

پاسخ به داوران محترم مجله علمی پژوهشی کرمانشاه

موارد مطرح شده توسط داوران محترم طبق لیست زیر اصلاح و در قالب متن مقاله مجددا" ساب میت گردید:

1- عنوان مقاله کوتاه و اصلاح گردید
2- ساختار چکیده بر اساس فرمت مجله اصلاح گردید و کمتر از 250 کلمه می باشد
3- چکیده انگلیسی بازبینی شد
4- آنالیز مقاله و روش استفاده شده در چکیده ذکر گردید
5- کلید واژه ها به متن مقاله اضافه شد
6- مقدمه به طور کامل بازبینی و اصلاحشد.پاراگراف بندی انجام شد
7- مواد و روشها بازبینی و اصلاح شد و مرحله بندی و ویرایش شد
8- در قسمت یافته ها جدولها کاهش یافت و بر اساس فرمت مجله اصلاح شد و متن ویرایش شد
9- بحث کاملا اصلاح،بازبینی و پاراگراف بندی شد
10- نتیجه گیری اصلاح شد
11- منابع اصلاح گردید

با تشکر-نویسندگان مقاله

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

با سلام و احترام

پاسخ به ایرادات داوران محترم مقاله شماره107638

کلیه ایرادات داوران محترم مقاله اصلاح شده و به صورت Underlineدر متن مقاله مشخص گردیده است

1- کلیه ایرادات املایی و نگارشی اصلاح شده است.
2- جداول بر اساس فرمت مجله تغییر یافته است.
3- رفرنس ها بر اساس فرمت مجله تغییر یافته است
4- بحث و مقدمه بر اساس نظرا ت داوران محترم اسلاح شده است.
5- نتیجه گیری کامل شده است.

با تشکر- نویسندگان مقاله

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)