**OPEN PEER REVIEW**

## Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

## What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

## Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/jkums.112218
Published in: Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences: 25(2) ; e112218

# Peer Review Report for "Exercise Recommendations During Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak"

**Author(s):** Hamid Alizadeh, Majid Soliemani

**Review Timeline:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Submit Date: | 16 Dec 2020 |
| Revised Date: | 26 Mar 2021 |
| Accepted Date: | 12 May 2021 |

**Revision (0)**

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

**OPEN PEER REVIEW**

**Revision (1)**

## Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

# Reply to reviewers

***All the demanded verifications are highlighted in yellow color.***

***The following changes have been implemented according to the plea of respectful reviewers:***

***1. Most of the article has been rewritten.***

***2. The number and types of the refs have been changed and* articles related to the physical activity, exercise during COVID 19 outbreak are replaced.**

## OPEN PEER REVIEW

### Revision (1)