OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/jkums.112518
Published in: Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences: 25(1) ; e112518

Peer Review Report for "The Prevalence and Pattern of *Enterobacter* Antibiotic Resistance in the Patients Admitted to Imam Reza Hospital in Kermanshah, Iran (2016 - 2018)"

Author(s): Zeinab Mohseni Afshar, Alireza Janbakhsh, Ronak Miladi, Feyzollah Mansouri, Babak Sayad, VAziri Siavash, Mandana Afsharian, Mohammad Hosein Zamanian, Maria Shirvani, Sara Yavari, Mitra Tarlan, Sedigheh Khazaei

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	27 Dec 2020
Revised Date:	3 Jan 2021
Accepted Date:	12 Jan 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Alireza Janbakhsh : EIC

29 Dec 2020

Honorable Professor Ronak Miladi
Hello

While thanking you for submitting your article entitled "Study of the frequency and pattern of enterobacterial antibiotic resistance in patients admitted to Imam Reza (AS) Hospital, Kermanshah in the years 1397-1396" Please answer the following

questions within a maximum of one week and add the necessary explanations in the text of the article.

Be sure to specify the corrected items and changes underline. The revised article will also be reviewed. It should be noted that if you do not respond in time, your article will be removed from the agenda. Note - Please e-mail the modified article and reply through the site. Please edit the sources accurately and completely based on the format of the magazine and Pub med. The full names of the authors, their affiliation along with the address, telephone and e-mail of the responsible author should be modified according to the format of the journal (Persian and English).

1- Conclusions are not inferred from the findings because only cases of sensitivity are mentioned in the findings.

2- In the table of antibiotics, it should be expressed in order from top to bottom so that it can be used or mentioned in a diagram. And according to the table chart, it is unnecessary. Also, the diagram should be written regularly from more to less.

3- In the discussion of the author's name, reference number 15 is not correct.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor

Thank you for your advice on our manuscript and would like to resubmit our revised manuscript entitled **“Prevalence and pattern of Enterobacter antibiotic resistance in patients admitted to Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah in 2016-2018**

for further consideration **”**

We have revised the issues brought up by the editor and reviewer, the point-by-point and the response can be found below. The changes in the manuscript are highlighted. The track .changed file is submitted separately

,Sincerely

Ronak Miladi

Changes done on page number and line number	Reply by the author(s)	Original comments of the reviewer	Reviewer Number
	با تشکر از نظر شما تغییرات در قسمت نتایج اعمال شد .	نتیجه گیری از یافته ها استنتاج نمی شود چون در یافته ها فقط موارد حساسیت ذکر شده است .	1
	میزان حساسیت از بالا به پایین تنظیم شد و جدول حذف گردید .	- در جدول آنتی بیوتیکها به ترتیب بیان شود با میزان حالت بالا به پایین بیان شود تا قابل استفاده باشد یا به صورت نمودار ذکر شود . و با توجه به نمودار جدول غیر ضروری است .ضمنا نمودارهم به صورت منظم از بیشتر به کمتر نوشته شود	2
	تغییرات اعمال شد	3- در بحث اسم نویسنده رفرنس شماره 15 صحیح نیست	3

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)