OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/jkums.85256
Published in: Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences: 22(4) ; e85256

Peer Review Report for "Chemical Composition and Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Properties of the Essential Oil of *Hyssopus officinalis* L"

Author(s): Fayegh Moulodi, Arezou Khezerlou, Hajar Zolfaghari, Ali Mohamadzadeh, Foad Alimoradi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	16 Oct 2018
Revised Date:	3 Dec 2018
Accepted Date:	4 Dec 2018

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

سردبیر محترم مجله علوم پزشکی کرمانشاه

با سلام و احترام
احتراماً، ضمن تشکر فراوان از همکاریهای صمیمانه حضرتعالی به استحضار میرساند،که مقاله با عنوان **بررسی ترکیبات شیمیایی و خواص آنتی اکسیدانی و ضدمیکروبی اسانس روغنی گیاه Hyssopus officinails L»** مجددا به شرح ذیل ویرایش گردید.

-مقدمه

- ✓ طبق نظر داور محترم مقدمه ویرایش گردید و توضیحات کامل در مورد گیاه زوفا ارائه گردید، لازم به ذکر است اسانس مرزنجوش ذکر شده در قسمت روش کار سهوی بوده و به جای اسانس زوفا به کار برده شده است که اصلاح گردید.
- ✓ در خصوص تعیین میزان دوز مصرفی اسانس زوفا باید به عرض برسانم که مطالعه حاضر در محیط آزمایشگاهی می باشد و جهت تعیین میزان دوز مصرفی در مطالعات آتی همزمان با اضافه کردن اسانس مذکور به مدل غذایی و ارزیابی ویژگی های حسی در دستور کار قرار دارد.

-یافته ها

- ✓ اعداد موجود در جداول بر اساس فونت و چینش اصلاح گردید

-بحث

- ✓ طبق نظر داور محترم اصلاحات مورد نیاز انجام گرفت و توضیحات اضافه گردید.

-منابع

- ✓ شماره گذاری منابع اصلاح گردید.

با تشکر و احترام

فایق مولودی

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)