Journal of Medical Education for Future Demands
Volume 22 · Issue 1 · e129060

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Registered Nurses' Experiences of Using a 3D Game in the Development of clinical Competency: A qualitative Study

Sanna Luokkamäki, Marja Härkänen, Susanna Saano, Kukka-Maaria Kokkonen, Katri Vehviläinen-Julkunen

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
17 Jun 2022	7 May 2023	27 May 2023	10.5812/jme-129060

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 Revision 2 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8
4 Revision 3 Referee 1 9
Authors' reply to reviewers 10
Reviewer and editor comments 12

1 Original submission

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

AUTHORS RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS, ASSOCIATE EDITOR AND EIC

Manuscript ID: 129060

Title: Registered nurses' perceptions of using a 3D game in the development of medication competency: an interview study

Revision: 1

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to revise the manuscript. Please find below our point-to-point responses to the comments made by reviewers, associate editor and EIC.

The changes in the manuscript are written in red.

Kind regards,

The Corresponding Author on behalf of all authors

Reviewers', associate editor's and EIC's comments	Revision
1. *the introduction is too short and It is better to strengthen with background information* 2. *compare findings with similar studies should be provided more*	Thank you for your comments. We have revised the text as follows: We agree with the referee that the introduction is too short. We added more information to previous research on the topic introduction section following the word limit of the this journal. We have revised manuscript based on reviewer's comments to compare findings with similar studies in discussion section, too.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

AUTHORS RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS, ASSOCIATE EDITOR AND EIC

Manuscript ID: 129060

Title: Registered nurses' perceptions of using a 3D game in the development of medication competency: an interview study

Revision: 1

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to revise the manuscript. Please find below our point-to-point responses to the comments made by reviewers, associate editor and EIC.

The changes in the manuscript are written in red.

Kind regards,

The Corresponding Author on behalf of all authors

Reviewers', associate editor's and EIC's comments	Revision
Reviewer 1 *The English in the present manuscript is not of publication quality and require major improvement* *Associate editor's and EIC's comments* *Please consider attached file .*	Thank you for your comments. We have revised the text as follows: We have revised the manuscript based on reviewer's comments. We have used Wiley English Editing service to edit language. We hope it is suitable in the present form. We took into account the comments in the attached file

3 Revision 2

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

4

Revision 3

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

AUTHORS RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS, ASSOCIATE EDITOR AND EIC

Manuscript ID: 129060

Title: Registered nurses' perceptions of using a 3D game in the development of medication competency: an interview study

Revision: 3

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to revise the manuscript. Please find below our point-to-point responses to the comments made by reviewers, associate editor and EIC.

The changes in the manuscript are written in red.

Kind regards,

The Corresponding Author on behalf of all authors

Reviewers', associate editor's and EIC's comments	Revision
Reviewer 1, associate editor's and EIC's comments *1- In the results section of the article summary, the themes and sub-themes extracted from the interviews should be written. For example, three themes were extracted from the study with concepts:* *Experiences of playing* *The usability of the game in verifying nurses' medication competency* *Effectiveness of the game to foster registered*	Thank you for your comments. We have revised the text as follows: We have revised article summary based on reviewer's, associate editor's and EIC's comments and we have written the themes and sub-themes.

nurses' medication competency. *2- According to the results of the study, discuss the positive and negative opinions of other studies* *3-There are many typographical and English-related mistakes right throughout. These should be corrected.* *In the text of the attached file, the reviewer has proposed to correct the literary corrections if he deems fit*	We have revised the results of the study based on reviewer's, associate editors's and EIC's comments, we added more discuss about the positive and negative opinions of other studies on pages 5 and 6. Thank you for your kind support. We have corrected spelling and typographical mistakes as pointed out in the attached file.

4 Revision 3

1 comment · 14 May 2023

Referee 1 Reviewer

ACCEPT 14 May 2023

Dear author
Thank you for accepting the reviewer's suggestions.