Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences
Volume 11 · Issue 2 · e147527

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Social Interactions and Comprehensive Education Programs: What the Society Related to Parkinson's Disease Wants in the Context of Iran: Content Analysis and Delphi Approach

Neda Geraminejad, Mehri Salari, Mahnaz Ikhani, Ali Darvishpoor Kakhki

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
15 Apr 2024	17 May 2024	20 May 2024	10.5812/jnms-147527

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6
3 **Revision 2** 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 9

1 Original submission

1 comment · 21 Apr 2024

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 21 Apr 2024

This topic is new in Iran, and this article was good in terms of methodology and writing.

2

Revision 1


AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS


Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Number	Comment	Reply
A1	Please include the type of study in the title if possible.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A2	Please mention the sampling method.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A3	Please provide brief descriptive information on participant characteristics such as mean age.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A4	Please write the conclusion based on the results of this study and based on this conclusion, point out the implication of the results and suggestions.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A5	Please write the keywords based on mesh.	All words were already based on mesh. Its pictures are attached.
A6	In order to enrich the background, it is suggested to provide more explanations about the research gap and the need to conduct this research in a qualitative approach.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A7	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A8	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A9	How did the interview process begin? Were explanations provided about the objectives of the study and other points related to the beginning of the interview process?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A10	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A11	Please indicate clearly how data saturation was determined?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A12	How many data coders coded the data?	It was mentioned in the analysis section- It is highlighted in the text
A13	Please explain clearly how you have addressed the credibility, consistency of the categories, dependability, and confirmability of data separately.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A10	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A13	Please explain clearly how you have addressed the credibility, consistency of the categories, dependability, and confirmability of data separately.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A14	Please indicate which descriptive statistics tests do you mean?	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A15	Please provide one table that contains examples of the meaning units, the condensed meaning units, the codes, and the subcategories.	It was in the original version. It was removed due to the limitation of the number of tables. The table was added, but only one example of the category, subcategories and its codes was added to prevent the table from becoming bulky.
A16	Did you not feel the need to interview the consultant and.... in reviewing the texts? Or	In the interview phase, it was tried to use first-hand sources such as the patient, family

	physiotherapist and...	caregiver, nurse and doctor. In the Delphi phase, eight different disciplines were surveyed.
A17	Please change quotation . Write more relative to category	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A18	Please mention the limitations and strengths of this study.	Done-It is highlighted in the text





2 Revision 1

2 comments · 12 May 2024 – 12 May 2024

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 12 May 2024

The authors are thanked for the revision of the manuscript. However, some minor revisions are needed to improve the manuscript. In this regard, please consider the following comments.
Please cite the reference related to the approach you used to analyze the data (Granheim and Lundman's).
Considering that, according to the journal's format, the maximum number of tables is 5 and that it is not possible to delete the information in the tables, please, if possible, merge some tables so that the maximum number of tables is 5.
Table 3, which you added, does not reflect this sentence: “Table 3 is an example of the meaning units, the codes, the subcategory, and the category.” It is suggested that you refer to Table 3 in the text as an “Example of the meaning units, the codes, the subcategory, and the category.”
Also, in the text of the results section, before you explain the categories and subcategories, first name the categories in general.
In addition, before explaining the subcategories, please explain categories 2 and 3 (Continuity of healthcare service delivery & Interactionism by leaving unwanted isolation) first.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 12 May 2024

The authors are thanked for the revision of the manuscript. However, some minor revisions are needed to improve the manuscript. In this regard, please consider the following comments.
Please cite the reference related to the approach you used to analyze the data (Granheim and Lundman's).
Considering that the journal's format allows a maximum of 5 tables and that the information in the tables cannot be deleted, please merge some tables, if possible, so that the maximum number of tables is 5.
Table 3, which you added, does not reflect this sentence: "Table 3 is an example of the meaning units, the codes, the subcategory, and the category." It is suggested that you refer to Table 3 in the text as an example of the meaning units, the codes, the subcategory, and the category.
Also, in the text of the results section, before you explain the categories and subcategories, first name the categories in general.
In addition, before explaining the subcategories, please first explain categories 2 and 3 (Continuity of healthcare service delivery & Interactionism by leaving unwanted isolation).

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Number	Comment	Reply
A1	Please cite the reference related to the approach you used to analyze the data (Granheim and Lundman's).	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A2	Considering that according to the format of the journal, the maximum number of tables is 5, and on the other hand, it is not possible to delete the information of the tables, please, if possible, merge some tables with each other so that the maximum number of tables is 5.	Done-It is highlighted in the text. Table number one and two were combined to make the total number of tables five.
A3-1	Table 3 which you added does not reflect this sentence; Table 3 is an example of the meaning units, the codes, the subcategory and the category.	Edited -It is highlighted in the text
A3-2	Also, in the text of the results section, before you explain the categories and subcategories, first name the categories in general.	Done-It is highlighted in the text
A3-3	before explaining the subcategories, please explain categories 2 and 3 (Continuity of healthcare service delivery & Interactionism by leaving unwanted isolation) first	Done-It is highlighted in the text

3 Revision 2

0 comments

No public comments in this round.