OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Journal of Skin and Stem Cell
Article DOI: 10.5812/jssc.101432
Published in: Journal of Skin and Stem Cell: 6(3); e101432

Peer Review Report for "Management Non-healing Trophic Ulcer in a Leprosy Patient with Combination of Injection and Topical Platelet Rich Plasma"

Author(s): Nyoman Suryawati, I G A A Praharsini, Juliyanti Juliyanti

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 27 Jan 2020
Revised Date: 15 Feb 2020
Accepted Date: 16 Feb 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 8 Feb 2020

Dear AE,
I read with interest the article titled" Management Non-Healing Trophic Ulcer in Leprosy Patient with Combination of Injection and Topical Platelet Rich Plasma"
The manuscript is acceptable after minor revision:
1- reference numbers at the end of some sentences are missing, for example in the below sentence:
Croveti et al. reported the effectiveness of topical platelet-rich plasma in gel form in 24 cases of chronic ulcers with various causes such as diabetes mellitus, trauma, neuropathy, vascular insufficiency, and vasculitis.
Please be sure that all sentences that you quoted have reference numbers.
2- the quality of the figures is very low, please improve the quality.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 8 Feb 2020

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and

revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 8 Feb 2020

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reply to Reviewer 1

Dear Reviewer 1,

Q1: *reference number at the end of some sentences are missing, for example in below sentence:*
Croveti et al. reported the effectiveness of topical platelet-rich plasma in gel form in 24 cases of chronic ulcers with various causes such as diabetes mellitus, trauma, neuropathy, vascular insufficiency, and vasculitis.
Please be sure that all sentences that you you quoted have reference number.

A1: some paragraphs and references already revised and highlighted in a word file.

Q2: *quality of figures are very low, please improve the quality.*

A2: already add some new figures but unfortunately we only have some low quality photos due to bad smartphone camera and technique in that situation.

Thank you for the revision comment.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 16 Feb 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.