OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Journal of Skin and Stem Cell
Article DOI: 10.5812/jssc.118670
Published in: Journal of Skin and Stem Cell: 8(2); e118670

Peer Review Report for "Intense Impact of Health Alert Due to COVID-19 on Adherence of Moderate to Severe Psoriasis Patients to Hospital Therapy"

Author(s): Luisa Martos-Cabrera, Alberto Calvo-Garcia, Beatriz Butron-Bris, Ana Reymundo, C. Santamaria, Enrique Ovejero-Merino, Esteban Dauden-Tello, Esther Ramirez- Herraiz, Mar Llamas-Velasco

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 13 Aug 2021
Revised Date: 11 Sep 2021
Accepted Date: 12 Sep 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 17 Aug 2021

Dear AE,
With greetings and respects
It is really an interesting article but needs significant grammatical editing and native corrections. - Does the study need to add a capsule summary including the most important points (about 10-15 sentences) of what is known? And what does this study add?
Please kindly reference to blew articles that are related to your topic
1. Seirafianpour F, Sodagar S, Pour Mohammad A, Panahi P, Mozafarpoor S, Almasi S, Goodarzi A. Cutaneous manifestations and considerations in COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. DermatolTher. 2020 Jul 8:e13986.
2. Nobari NN, Goodarzi A. Patients with specific skin disorders who are affected by COVID-19: What do experiences say about management strategies? A systematic review.DermatolTher. 2020 Jun 18:e13867.
3. NajarNobari N, Seirafianpour F, Mashayekhi F,Goodarzi A. A systematic review on treatment-related mucocutaneous reactions in COVID-19patients.DermatolTher. 2020: e14662.doi: 10.1111/dth.14662. PMID: 33301232.
Best regards

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 17 Aug 2021

Dear EIC,
The manuscript needs minor revision. The authors should revise the manuscript based on reviewer comments.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 17 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Madrid, August 2021

Dear editor,

We resubmit the manuscript entitled**: Intense impact of health alert due to COVID-19 on adherence of moderate to severe psoriasis patients to hospital therapy,** with the minor revision suggested. We declare that the paper has not been published previously or submitted for publication elsewhere and will not be submitted for publication elsewhere before a decision is reached concerning publication in **Journal of Skin and Stem Cell.**

A point-by-point response to the reviewers' concerns may be presented. Changes made to the main text have been marked with the change control.

REVIEWER 1

Comment 1: *Needs significant grammatical editing and native corrections.*
Answer: English language was reviewed.

Comment 2: *Add a capsule summary including most important points (about 10-15 sentences) of what is known? And what does this study add?*

Answer: Adherence to a prescribed drug in chronic disease (such as in psoriasis) is important to be determinate as it can result in decreasing drug efficacy, quality of life or even increasing healthcare costs. COVID-19 pandemic may have affected the adherence to a biological treatment in psoriasis due to fear o difficulty getting medical care. However, previously reported results showed a slight decrease in adherence to a biological treatment in psoriasis. Therefore, we conducted a retrospective observational database study to analyzed that impact. We observed a decrease in the percentage of adherent patients of 40,0% in COVID-19 period of time. Overall MPR was lower in the 2020 period than in 2019. Adherence to a prescribed drug can be affected by different factors. We have demonstrated a deep impact on adherence because of the situation created by the COVID-19 pandemic and we concluded that further efforts need to be done to mitigate this fact.

Comment 3*: Please kindly reference to blew articles that are related to your topic*

1. Seirafianpour F, Sodagar S, Pour Mohammad A, Panahi P, Mozafarpoor S, Almasi S, Goodarzi A. Cutaneous manifestations and considerations in COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. DermatolTher. 2020 Jul 8:e13986.

2. Nobari NN, Goodarzi A. Patients with specific skin disorders who are affected by COVID-19: What do experiences say about management strategies? A systematic review.DermatolTher. 2020 Jun 18:e13867.
3. NajarNobari N, Seirafianpour F, Mashayekhi F,Goodarzi A. A systematic review on treatment-related mucocutaneous reactions in COVID-19patients.DermatolTher. 2020: e14662.doi: 10.1111/dth.14662. PMID: 33301232.

Answer: the references have been added.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 12 Sep 2021

Dear Author,

The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.

Thanks.