Journal of Skin and Stem Cell
Volume 10 · Issue 1 · e135962

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Digital Image Analysis of Diabetic Foot Ulcer Areas Using ImageJ Software (National Institute of Health, USA) in Patients Treated with Autologous Activated Platelet-rich Plasma Injection

Dewi Fibrini, I Nyoman Ehrich Lister, Imam Rosadi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
25 Feb 2023	16 Apr 2023	17 Apr 2023	10.5812/jssc-135962

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Azadeh Goodarzi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6
3 **Revision 2** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 9

1 Original submission

3 comments · 3 Apr 2023 – 3 Apr 2023

Azadeh Goodarzi Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 3 Apr 2023

With respects
As I understand it, this study uses artificial intelligence (AI project), so it is really of great value, and I decided to accept this article after adding a capsule summary including practical key points and further improving the language.
Best regards

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 3 Apr 2023

Dear EIC,
Thanks to the authors and appreciation to the researchers. The manuscript is a valuable piece of research. But some revisions are needed. The authors should revise it based on the comments. Also, minor changes should be made in the abstract:
1- The study design should be mentioned in the first line of the Method section.
2- A summary of the statistical analysis results should be mentioned in the result section.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 3 Apr 2023

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting the article. It needs some revision. Please revise it based on the reviewers' and AE's comments.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editorial Team

Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. Based on some suggestions, we improved our article indicated by the yellow highlight.

Thank you in advance for this opportunity

Best Regards

Author

> Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:
Dear EIC,
Thanks to the authors and appreciation to the researchers. The manuscript is a valuable research. But some revisions are needed. Authors should revise it based on comments. Also minor changes should be done in abstract:
1- The study design should be mentioned in first line of Method section.
2-Summary of Statistical analysis results should be mention in result section.

Dear Editor and Reviewer,

Thank you for reviewing our articles,

1. We already added the design study
2. We added the statistical analysis result

> Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
The manuscript is well-written but there are some grammatical and typographical errors that should be considered
and the keywords should be according to Mesh

Dear Reviewer 1

Thank you for your opportunity to revise our article. The grammar and typographical errors have been fixed. According to MesH, we

changed the keywords. There were 5 MeSH Terms, including *Humans, Diabetic Foot, Wound Healing, Platelet-Rich Plasma, and Software.* The keywords have been added.

Reviewer 2:
With respects
As I understand this study uses artificial intelligence (AI project), so it is really of great value and I decided to accept this article after adding a capsule summary including practical key points and improving the language more.
Best regards

Dear Reviewer 2

Thank you very much for reviewing our article. Some practical keys were added in the last section (after the conclusion) and we improved our language indicated by the highlight.

2 Revision 1

2 comments · 15 Apr 2023 – 15 Apr 2023

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 15 Apr 2023

Dear EIC,
The great effort in improving the manuscript is highly appreciated. However, I think the authors misunderstood my comments:
I asked the authors to add the study design and a one-line summary of the statistical analysis to the ABSTRACT, not the full text:
Please identify the design of the study from the list below and mention it in the first line of the Abstract. For example: in this cross-sectional study, 30 patients.....

Study design list:
Case-Control Study
Case Report or Case Series
Cohort Study
Cross-Sectional Study
Diagnostic Test accuracy Study
Meta-Analysis Study
Systematic Review (with or without a meta-analysis)
Observational Studies in Nutritional Epidemiology
Prediction Model Development/Validation
Randomized Trial Study
Abstract of Randomized Trials
Study using Laboratory Animals
Protocol of a Clinical Trial
Protocol of a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Qualitative Study
Economic Evaluation of Health interventions
Quality Improvement in Health Care
Randomized Trial of a social or psychological intervention
Behavioral and Public Health Interventions
Genetic Association Study
Tumor Marker Prognostic Studies
Statistical Assessment of Medical Papers

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 15 Apr 2023

Dear author,
Please revise the manuscript based on the AE's instructions.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editorial Team

Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. Based on some suggestions, we improved our article indicated by the yellow highlight.

Thank you in advance for this opportunity

Best Regards

Author

Associate Editor 1:
Dear EIC,
The great effort in improving the manuscript is highly appreciated. However, I think authors had some misunderstanding about my comments:
i asked authors to add study design and one line summary of statistical analysis in ABSTRACT and not full text:
please find study design of your study from below list and mention it in first line of Abstract: for example: in this cross sectional study, 30 patients.....

Dear Editor,

Thank you for correcting our misunderstanding. Here is the revised article included the additional information about design and statistical analysis in Abstract

Thank you in advance

3 Revision 2

2 comments · 17 Apr 2023 – 17 Apr 2023

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 17 Apr 2023

I read the manuscript, and the authors corrected the requested items. I proposed that the authors add a summary of the statistical analysis results to the results section of the Abstract:
For example: p>x showed.....

However, the article could be accepted at this stage.
Sincerely;

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 17 Apr 2023

Dear Author,
Thank you for paying attention and responding to the comments.