Journal of Skin and Stem Cell
Volume 10 · Issue 4 · e140213

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Clinical and Dermoscopic Study of Palmoplantar Keratodermas

Atul Rajeendran, Manjunath Mala Shenoy, Malcolm Pinto, Vishal B Amin, Spandana Prakash Hegde, Amina Asfiya, Ashmiya Abdul Razak

| SUBMITTED | REVISED | ACCEPTED | DOI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 22 Aug 2023 | 7 Oct 2023 | 22 Oct 2023 | 10.5812/jssc-140213 |

## About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

## Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 3
**Authors' reply to reviewers** ..... 4
**Reviewer and editor comments** ..... 5

## 1 Original submission

2 comments · 3 Sep 2023 – 3 Sep 2023

### Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 3 Sep 2023

Dear AE,
Thanks to the authors and appreciation to the researchers. The manuscript presents valuable research. But some revisions are needed.
ABSTRACT:
1- The study design should be mentioned in the first line of the methods section of the ABSTRACT.
2-A summary of the statistical analysis results should be mentioned in the results section of the ABSTRACT.

### Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 3 Sep 2023

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting the article. It needs some revision. Please revise it based on the reviewers' and AE's comments.

2

# Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reply to reviewer

1. The grammatical and typographical errors have been corrected and highlighted
2. All the keywords have been changed according to MeSH
3. In the course of the study,only one observer had done the dermoscopy and made the analysis
4. The prevalence of various dermatoses causing PPK has been included in text in the results section in addition to the table

Reply to associate editor

1. Study design (cross sectional study) has been mentioned in the methods section of the abstract
2. Summary of statistical analysis has been added in the results section of the abstract

## 2 Revision 1

2 comments · 22 Oct 2023 – 22 Oct 2023

### Associate Editor

ACCEPT 22 Oct 2023

Dear EIC,
I reviewed the manuscript again. The great effort in improving the manuscript is highly appreciated.
The authors have addressed all comments.
Thank you

### Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 22 Oct 2023

Dear Author,
Thank you for your explanation, and I find your manuscript acceptable.