OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals
Article DOI: 10.5812/mca.104397
Published in: Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals: 11(2); e104397

Peer Review Report for "ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronary Arteries Angiography"

Author(s): Morteza Motedayen, Hamid Khederlou

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 2 May 2020
Revised Date: 10 Jun 2020
Accepted Date: 21 Jun 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Ata Firouzi: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 6 Jun 2020

Dear EIC,
This is a well written case report , I think it's need minor revision.
1) in this young patient with MINCA we should insist on drug abuse like cocaine and other drugs that can make this problem
2) Adding a picture from normal LAD can be helpful.
Thanks.

Alireza Alizadeh Ghavidel: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 6 Jun 2020

Dear Authors,
I would like to inform you that your interesting case report needs minor revision according to our expert AE decision. Please revise the article precisely before re-submission.
Regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

1) in this young patient with MINCA we should insist on drug abuse like cocaine and other drugs that can make this problem

We explain about drug abuse like cocaine at page *2*, part of case presentation, paragraph 1, line *4*.

but denied other drug abuse that cause cardiac ischemia, including amphetamines such as cocaine.

2) adding a picture from normal LAD can be helpful.

Unfortunately, we do not currently have access to patient angiography and picture from normal LAD

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 21 Jun 2020

Dear EIC
Authors made possible changes that we want in revision, and are acceptable for publishing in this journal.
Thanks.

Alireza Alizadeh Ghavidel: EIC | **Revision** (1) 21 Jun 2020

Dear Authors
Thank you for revising the manuscript. I would like to inform you that your article will be published in the upcoming issue
Regards