OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals
Article DOI: 10.5812/mca.107462
Published in: Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals: 12(1); e107462

Peer Review Report for "Correlation between Left Atrial Appendage and Right Atrial Appendage Velocities in Different Pathologies and Normal Subjects"

Author(s): Mohaddeseh Behjati, Saman Rostambeigi, Azin Alizadehasl

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 13 Jul 2020
Revised Date: 19 Jul 2020
Accepted Date: 21 Jul 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 14 Jul 2020

Dear AE,
This letter discusses an interesting subject, the correlation between LAA and RAA velocities in Echocardiographic evaluation of patients with AF or valvular heart diseases. The letter could be accepted after minor revision. Please use the full phrase of LAA and RAA in the Title. In the 6th line of the first paragraph, it is suggested to add "in echocardiographic 2D and Doppler study" after "velocities".
Sincerely yours;

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 14 Jul 2020

Dear EIC,
The letter could be accepted after minor revision.
Sincerely

Alireza Alizadeh Ghavidel: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 15 Jul 2020

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting your valuable manuscript. it is my pleasure to inform you that the article will be published after minor revision according to the reviewers' comments.

Regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewer 1:
Dear AE

This letter discusses about interesting subject, correlation between LAA and RAA velocities in Echocardiographic evaluation of patients with AF or valvular heart diseases. The letter could be accepted after minor revision. Please use full phrase of LAA and RAA in the Title. In 6th line of first paragraph it is suggested to add "in echocardiographic 2D and Doppler study" after "velocities".
Title have been changed and in the 6th line *"in echocardiographic 2D and Doppler study" was added,*
Sincerely yours;

Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:
Dear Editor in Chief

The letter could be accepted after minor revision.

Sincerely;

AE

EIC Decision:

Dear Authors,
Thank you for submitting your valuable manuscript. it is my pleasure to inform you that the article will be published after minor revision according to the reviewers' comments.

Regards
EIC

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Alireza Alizadeh Ghavidel: EIC | **Revision** (**1**) 21 Jul 2020

Dear Authors,
Thank you for submitting your revised article. I am pleased to inform you that the manuscript will be submitting in the upcoming issue.
Regards