OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals
Article DOI: 10.5812/mca.96725
Published in: Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals: 10(2); e96725

Peer Review Report for "Post-Partum Cardiomyopathy in a Duchenne Carrier: A Case Report"

Author(s): Sepideh Taghavi, Marzieh Mirtajaddini, Maryam Chenaghlou, Nasim Naderi, Ahmad Amin, Nahid Rezaeian, Mohammad Mostafa Ansari Ramandi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	29 Jul 2019
Revised Date:	7 Sep 2019
Accepted Date:	14 Sep 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 8 Aug 2019

Dear AE,
There is no evidence of plagiarism. The case is very well described. The discussion of the main disease is appropriate. The conclusion is acceptable & practical. The English writing is fluent & comprehensive. References and citations are acceptable if they are compatible with the assigned journal policy.
There is no statistical analysis as it was not needed in this case report.
Regarding all these, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 18 Aug 2019

Dear EIC,
Thanks for inviting me.
This paper can be accepted after minor revision.
- Reviewers' comments should be considered.
- Discussion part must be extended with some comparisons with previous case studies.
- More new references should be used.

- There is no evidence of plagiarism.

Warm Regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)**

18 Aug 2019

Dear Author,

Thank you for sharing us your valuable experience.

I would like to kindly ask you to revise the manuscript according to the AE and Reviewers' comments.

Sincerely

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewer #1:

"There is no evidence of plagiarism. The case is very well described. The discussion of main disease is appropriate. The conclusion is acceptable & practical. The English writing is fluent & comprehensive. References and citations are acceptable if they are compatible with assigned journal policy.
There is no statistical analysis as it was not needed in this case report. Regarding all these, this manuscript is accepted."

Thank you for your comments. No further modification are required.

Reviewer #2:

"Please see attached file.
Minor revisions needed"

Thank you for your comments. Based on the invaluable comments of the reviewer, modifications were applied into the manuscript.

1- *"What you do about this case finally?"*

A sentence was added into the abstract of the manuscript to clarify the comment of reviewer.

2- *"Need more clarification about the disease and related issues"*

The disease and related issues are explained with more details in the introduction section of the revised manuscript.

3- *"Need more comparisons with related papers"*

In the discussion section, the findings of this research have been compared with related papers.

4- *"Add DOI of English refs."*

The reference list of paper has been prepared according to standard template of the multidisciplinary cardiovascular annals.
http://multicardia.com/en/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#journal_articles.html).
Based on this standard format, there is no need to mention the DOI of journal papers.

5- *"Please add new refs. Upper than 2010."*

Recent publications related to this topic were added into the manuscript.

Associate Editor:

"Thanks for inviting me.
This paper can be accepted after minor revision.
Reviewers' comments should be considered.
Discussion part must be extended with some comparisons with previous case studies.
More new references should be used.
There is no evidence of plagiarism."

Thank you for the invaluable comments of the Associate Editor. The manuscript has been now revised according to the constructive comments of the Associate Editor.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 14 Sep 2019

Dear Authors;
Thank you for revising the manuscript. Your valuable case report will be published in the next issues.
Regards