Mass Gathering Medical Journal
Volume 1 · Issue 2 · e148849

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Spatial Analysis of Arbaeen Walking Path and Its Security

Seyed Mahdi Pazhuhan

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
15 Aug 2024	30 Sep 2024	15 Oct 2024	10.69107/mgmj-148849

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission Referee 1 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 Revision 2 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

1 comment · 3 Oct 2024

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 3 Oct 2024

Analysis of the Arbaeen walking path is an interesting research topic. However, the following comments should be addressed in the manuscript. The abstract should be summarized in 250 words. The English language of the manuscript should be improved. The novelty of the work should be clearly mentioned. Please use the Vancouver style for references. Please use the English language for the text in the figures. Please add an indentation at the beginning of each paragraph. Please clearly refer to the objective of the manuscript.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Subject: Revisions Completed for Manuscript SubmissionDear Dr. Noori,I hope this message finds you well.I would like to inform you that the comments from the esteemed reviewers have been incorporated into the manuscript as follows:Reviewers 1 and 2 had accepted the manuscript, while Reviewer 3 provided six comments, all of which have been addressed and highlighted in green in the revised text, including the keywords. Additionally, I have incorporated the feedback from Reviewer 4 into the manuscript. To enhance the clarity of the text, I utilized the Monika AI program for smoother writing, and I marked all changes made per Reviewer 4’s suggestions in green as well.Reviewer 4 mentioned removing any duplicate images; however, I would like to clarify that there were no duplicate images present. The images included are essential for clearly conveying the content of the article.I have made every effort within my capacity to prepare the manuscript thoroughly.Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your feedback.Best regards,

3 Revision 2

0 comments

No public comments in this round.