Nephro-Urology Monthly
Volume 15 · Issue 1 · e128168

OPEN PEER REVIEW

A Comparative Study on Outcomes of Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery (RIRS), Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (PCNL), and Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) for Lower Pole Renal Calculi of < 1.5 cm

Ishwar Ram Dhayal, Rakesh Gupta, Alok Srivastava, Priyanka Rai

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
22 May 2022	25 Feb 2023	12 Mar 2023	10.5812/numonthly-128168

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission 2

2 Revision 1 Referee 1 3

Authors' reply to reviewers 4

Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear editorial board of Nephro-Urology Monthly

Thank you for the reviewer's helpful comments on my article **"A Comparative Study on Outcomes of Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery (RIRS), Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (PCNL), and Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) for Lower Pole Renal Calculi of <1.5 CM"**

I have revised and re -written the article for your kind perusal.

This submission is my revised article where the article underwent major revisions.

1.The English writing is edited by Nedmedica and is now free of language and grammatical errors.

2. Patients themselves chose the treatment after counselling, and written informed consent was subsequently taken. The Institute Ethics committee approved this study (IEC 49/19).

3. All the cases of Mini PCNL and RIRS were performed by a single surgeon (The Corresponding author) only and ESWL cases were performed by a Lithotripsy technician under the supervision of the corresponding author.

4. The discussion section is written in a separate section.

5. Although we accept that the sample size is too small but it was decided after taking inputs from the institute statistician as it was the thesis topic of one of our trainee urologists and the statistician opined it was a statistically powered study. The trainee urologist has to complete this study in his 3 years training period of MCh.

We hope that we have addressed all the comments and queries by the esteemed reviewers.

With kind regards!

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 11 Mar 2023

Referee 1 Reviewer

ACCEPT 11 Mar 2023

Dear authors,
Thank you very much.
Best regards