OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Shiraz E-Medical Journal
Article DOI: 10.5812/semj.105822
Published in: Shiraz E-Medical Journal: 22(7); e105822

Peer Review Report for "Accelerated Idioventricular Rhythm After Lamotrigine Overdose"

Author(s): Zahra Parsian , Ali Ostadi, Fariborz Akbarzadeh, Saina Gholipouri, Hassan Soleimanpour

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 28 May 2020
Revised Date: 12 Aug 2020
Accepted Date: 14 Aug 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Hamidreza Aslani: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 14 Jul 2020

Dear EIC,
Plagiarism is about 2%.
The references are not up to date. Use new references.
The authors should rewrite the Abstract.
Thanks

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 17 Jul 2020

Dear Authors,
The article is of interest. Minor revisions and changing the format of the letter to the editor are needed.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Editor In Chief:

Prof. Lankarani K B

Respected AE **7/25/2020**

RE (Rev 1): Accelerated Idioventricular Rhythm after Lamotrigine overdose

On behalf of all authors, I appreciate you for reviewing our manuscript so meticulously and mentioning very important comments. We really respect you for devoting your time and energy for reviewing our manuscript. Below you could find our reply to your comments:

The references are not up to date. Use new references.
Please rewrite the Abstract.

¬ Reply: We really appreciate you for this wise comment. We updated the referencess and added the new reference. Furthermore, we revised the Abstract in the best condition.

Kind Regards

Yours Sincerely

**Corresponding author:* Hassan Soleimanpour

Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Emergency Medicine Department, TUOMS

Fellowship in EBM, Joanna Briggs Institute, University of Adelaide, AUSTRALIA

Fellowship in Trauma Critical Care and CPR, Vienna, Austria

Subspecialty in Intensive Care Medicine (ICM), TUOMS

Tel: +989141164134, Fax: +98-4133352078,
E-mail: soleimanpourh@tbzmed.ac.ir or h.soleimanpour@gmail.com

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 31 Jul 2020

Dear Authors,
The article is acceptable. But it could be accepted as a letter to the editor . If the authors agree with this decision they have to re submit in the format of letter to the editor.
Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Editor In Chief:

Prof. Lankarani K B

Respected AE 8/9/2020

RE (Rev 2): Accelerated Idioventricular Rhythm after Lamotrigine overdose

On behalf of all authors, I appreciate you for reviewing our manuscript so meticulously and mentioning very important comments. We really respect you for devoting your time and energy for reviewing our manuscript. Below you could find our reply to your comments:

EIC Decision:

The article is acceptable . But it could be accepted as a letter to the editor . If the authors agree with this decision they have to re submit in the format of letter to the editor.

¬ Reply: We really appreciate you for your comment. We changed the article format as letter to the editor.

Kind Regards

Yours Sincerely

*Corresponding author: Hassan Soleimanpour

Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Emergency Medicine Department, TUOMS

Fellowship in EBM, Joanna Briggs Institute, University of Adelaide, AUSTRALIA

Fellowship in Trauma Critical Care and CPR, Vienna, Austria

Subspecialty in Intensive Care Medicine (ICM), TUOMS

Tel: +989141164134, Fax: +98-4133352078,

E-mail: soleimanpourh@tbzmed.ac.ir or h.soleimanpour@gmail.com

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (2) 14 Aug 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.