OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Shiraz E-Medical Journal
Article DOI: 10.5812/semj.97902
Published in: Shiraz E-Medical Journal: 21(9); e97902

Peer Review Report for "The Epidemiological Assessment of Lifestyle Impact on Childhood and Adolescence Psychiatric Disorders in Kohgiloyeh and Boyer-Ahmad Province, Iran"

Author(s): Rahim Ostovar, Nourrollah Yadegari, Mohammad Reza Mohammadi, Ali Khaleghi, Hojjatallah Javidi, Majid Dousti, Parvin Angha

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	11 Sep 2019
Revised Date:	23 May 2020
Accepted Date:	14 Jun 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 16 Sep 2019

Dear AE,

1. Type of the study is cross sectional. Please only talk about association. You can't assess effect of some factors on the other factor in this setting.
2. The following statements are not your results: we assessed the correlation of 10 variables (physical health, physical activity and wellbeing, weight control and nutrition, prevention of diseases, mental health-cognitive, spiritual health, social health, drug avoidance, prevention of accidents and environmental health) related to lifestyle with children and adolescent psychiatric disorders.
3. Report your main findings in the abstract quantitatively.
4. How about exclusion criteria?
5. Describe sampling procedure in details.
6. There was no information about number of questions and scoring of K-SADS-PL questionnaire.

7. 10 factors determining 22.46% of the total variance? It is not acceptable.
8. Reporting exact correlation in the text is necessary. Remove wage expressions like “high relation”.
9. There was no information about demographic variables and Table 1.
10. There was no information about cut point of disorders.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision (0)** 22 Dec 2019

Dear AE,
- There is no reference for LSQ in material and methods.
- There isn't any data for races such as Gilki and Mazni Arab - Baloch and Turkoman in table 1. It recommended delete these races from table.
- In graph 2 " lifesyle" should be changed to correct speling. (lifestyle)
Thanks

Najmeh Maharlouei: Associate Editor | **Revision (0)** 23 Dec 2019

Dear EIC,
Thank you for inviting me as the SE of the manuscript entitled ". According to the reviewers, this manuscript needs some revisions especially in the result section.
Kindest Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)** 29 Dec 2019

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above carefully according to reviewers' request.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear……..

Editor in chief Shiraz e medical journal

We are very pleased and grateful to have this chance to resubmit the revised version of the manuscript. The manuscript is now revised according to valuable comments and issues provided by reviewer and the editor. Also the comments and questions are answered one by one and are addressed below.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
Type of the study is cross sectional. Please only talk about association. You can't assess effect of some factors on the other factor in this setting.

Answer: according to reviewer comment, the manuscript has been corrected and words such as " effect" and "impact" were substituted with "association" and "correlation" (in red)

The following statements are not your results: we assessed the correlation of 10 variables (physical health, physical activity and wellbeing, weight control and nutrition, prevention of diseases, mental health-cognitive, spiritual health, social health, drug avoidance, prevention of accidents and environmental health) related to lifestyle with children and adolescent psychiatric disorders.

Answer: This part has been deleted and the abstract has been revised accordingly.

Report your main findings in the abstract quantitatively.

Answer: The exact correlations p-values have been added for each variable to the abstract (in blue)

How about exclusion criteria?

Answer: as we wanted to consider all possible cases with or without mental disorders and with all possible range of life style scores, no strict exclusion criteria were considered but individuals who will to stop the study and questionnaires with confused answers were excluded (this is highlighted in yellow)

Describe sampling procedure in details.

Answer: more details on sampling procedure have been added to method.

There was no information about number of questions and scoring of K-SADS-PL questionnaire.

Answer: More information on K-SADS-PL has been added to method part to clarify the questionnaire (highlighted in green)

Reporting exact correlation in the text is necessary. Remove wage expressions like "high relation".

Answer: the exact p-value for each variable has been added to results (highlighted in blue) and misleading expressions such as "high relation" were deleted

There was no information about demographic variables and Table 1.

Answer: it's now mentioned and referenced to table 1 in the method part (highlighted in red).

There was no information about cut point of disorders.

The cut point to diagnose the disorder were performed according to KSADS instruction for lifetime and current diagnoses , which are the cutting points of the criteria mentioned in DSM by APA.

Reviewer 2:
There is no reference for LSQ in material and methods.

Answer: The relevant reference has been cited.

There isn't any data for races such as Gilki and Mazni Arab - Baloch and Turkoman in table 1. It recommended delete these races from table.

Answer: the change has been done accordingly
in graph 2 " lifesyle" should be change to correct speling. (Lifestyle)

Answer: the spelling has been corrected all over the manuscript.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) — 3 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
In Figure 2 “ifesyle” is not true.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) — 14 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

Najmeh Maharlouei: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) — 14 Jun 2020

Dear EIC,
It is my pleasure to inform that the manuscript entitled "The epidemiological assessment of lifestyle impact on childhood and adolescence psychiatric disorders in Kohgiloyeh and Boyer-Ahmad province, Iran" has been accepted by the reviewers.
Kindest regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) — 14 Jun 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.