OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Thrita
Article DOI: 10.5812/thrita.112271
Published in: Thrita: 9(2); e112271

Peer Review Report for "The Independent and Combined Effects of Aerobic Exercise Training and Eugenol Consumption on Cardiac Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in Chlorpyrifos Poisoned Rats"

Author(s): Fatemeh Soltani-Moez, Saleh Rahmati-Ahmadabad

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	22 Dec 2020
Revised Date:	14 Mar 2021
Accepted Date:	24 Mar 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 1 Feb 2021

Dear AE,
Comments for the authors:
1. Please search broader to find more updated researches that support your research. That will help you to have a stronger discussion part .
2. Please use two or three comments at the end of the paper. That will be a useful guide for other researchers.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 24 Feb 2021

Dear EIC,
This manuscript was reviewed. There are some reviewers' comments at the bottom of the letter. As we are facilitating the reviewing procedure, we are expecting to receive the revised version in 7 days.
Regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)** 1 Mar 2021

Dear Author:

Your manuscript was reviewed. There are some reviewers' comments at the bottom of the letter. As we are facilitating the reviewing procedure, we are expecting to receive your revised version in 7 days.

Regards.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Reviewers

Thank you for your helpful comments. The changes to the manuscript are in red.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
1. Please search broader to find more updated researches that support your research. That will help you to have a stronger discussion part.

Response: The discussion was revised.

2. Please use two or three comments at the end of the paper. That will be a useful guide for other researchers.

Response: It was added.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 14 Mar 2021

Dear AE,
That would be better to explain shortly the mechanisms that the authors mentioned in the discussion.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 24 Mar 2021

Dear EIC:
The article has been accepted, but it should be correct in the edited version (the proofs) the items referred by one of the reviewers in the discussion part, otherwise we will hold the manuscript.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 24 Mar 2021

Dear Author:
Thank you very much for considering Thrita journal for the publication of your paper I'm pleased to confirm that your manuscript has been accepted for publication in Thrita.
Regards