Thrita Journal of Neuron
Volume 10 · Issue 1 · e116921

OPEN PEER REVIEW

In Vivo Antiplasmodial Activity of *Detarium microcarpum* (Fabaceae) Stem Bark Extract

Amira Rahana Abdullahi, Sani Malami, Lawal Alhassan Bichi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
21 Jun 2021	1 Aug 2021	11 Sep 2021	10.5812/thrita.116921

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

2 comments · 24 Jul 2021 – 24 Jul 2021

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 24 Jul 2021

Dear Author:
Your manuscript was reviewed. There are some reviewers' comments at the bottom of the letter.
Regards.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 24 Jul 2021

Dear Author:
Thank you very much for submitting your manuscript to the Thrita journal. Please revise your final manuscript based on the reviewers' comments and resubmit the revised draft through our website.
Regards

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewer 1:
this manuscript will be potential for publication in this journal because it is very interested and has novelty .

- Thank you so much, I am so grateful for this opportunity.

Reviewer 2:
Greetings and respects to the respected officials of the valuable journal Thrita. The article in question is valuable in terms of quality and subject content in the field of malaria factors and has an acceptable quality in expressing the required points; only, regarding the presentation of results, the results and the related tables are presented in the materials and methods section, a part of which should be identified as results, and the factors related to the results and the tables, with the quality of that part, should be moved to the results section. Thank you

- Thank you so much for the immense opportunity.
- I have highlighted the results section, all the factors related to the results, figures are in the results section and have been thoroughly checked.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 11 Sep 2021

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 11 Sep 2021

Dear Author:
Your article has been accepted, but the items noted by one of the reviewers in the discussion section should be corrected in the edited version (the proofs); otherwise, we will hold your manuscript.
If you have questions, please feel free to contact us.
Regards