Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
Volume 23 · Issue 2 · e104127

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Episiotomy and Its Complications

Seyed Hosein Soleimanzadeh Mousavi, Mina Miri, Farahnaz Farzaneh

| SUBMITTED | REVISED | ACCEPTED | DOI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 25 Apr 2020 | 28 May 2020 | 14 Jun 2020 | 10.5812/zjrms.104127 |

## About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

## Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief ..... 3
**Authors' reply to reviewers** ..... 4
**Reviewer and editor comments** ..... 5

## 1 Original submission

2 comments · 27 May 2020 – 27 May 2020

### Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 27 May 2020

With greetings and respect,
The initial abstract states 2014 to 2017, but the text and summary state 2014 to 2016. Please correct this.
Revise the keywords to include a few essential terms.
In the Discussion section, the percentage for epilateral episiotomy has been mistyped. Please correct it, revise the terminology for episiotomies, and specify the types.
Complete the page information, etc., for reference 3.
State which formula was used to calculate the pain score, provide the formula, and describe the scoring method.
Move the information about the total number of participants and which hospital was involved from the Results section to the Methods section.

### Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 27 May 2020

Greetings and regards,
The initial abstract gives 2014 to 2017, but the main text and summary give 2014 to 2016. Please correct this.
Revise the keywords to include a few key terms.
In the Discussion section, the percentage for epilateral episiotomy has been mistyped. Please correct it, change the term used for episiotomies, and specify the types.
Complete reference number 3, including the page numbers and other missing details.
Explain how the pain score was calculated, provide the formula, and describe the scoring method.
Move the information about the total number of participants and the hospital from the Results section to the Methods section.

2

# Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of the Most High

With greetings and respect

1In the abstract section, the year the study was conducted was corrected

2The keyword was corrected

3The typo you mentioned regarding the word episiotomy was also fixed

4The pain measurement criteria were highlighted in the text

With thanks

## 2 Revision 1

2 comments · 13 Jun 2020 – 14 Jun 2020

### Associate Editor

ACCEPT 13 Jun 2020

Accept

### Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 14 Jun 2020

Accept