Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
Volume 25 · Issue 4 · e131442

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Effect of Different Sealer Removal Protocols on Micro-Tensile Bond Strength of Single Bond Plus to Dentin Exposed with Different Chemical Root Canal Irrigants: An Ex Vivo Study

Behnam Rousta, Narges Farhad Molashahi, Somayeh Hosseini Tabatabaei

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
5 Sep 2022	8 Jan 2023	11 Apr 2023	10.5812/zjrms-131442

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6

1 Original submission

1 comment · 23 Nov 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 23 Nov 2022

This work is interesting and relevant, but there are some points throughout the manuscript. Please find the attached file.

1. Please provide major English language revisions.
2. I suggest extensively reviewing and fully complying with the instructions to authors available on the website and revising the manuscript accordingly. It seems more like a draft than the final copy of the manuscript.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewer 1:
What post -hoc test have you used?

Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the assumption of normal distribution. Comparison between experimental groups was performed using Kruskal-Wallis H and Dunn's post-hoc tests. The accepted level of significance for all tests was $p < 0.05$.
What software have you used?

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS for Windows version 22 (IBM corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Please graph pad prism for display figure.
Please write a statistical section.

For sure.

Reviewer 2:
- The first paragraph of the discussion, that`s better to be deleted, because of repeating the title and purpose of the article.

For sure, I did it.

- The discussion should be rewritten and the contradiction of the study with old studies should be discussed more.

I try my best.

Reviewer 3:
This work is interesting and relevant, but there are some points throughout the manuscript. Please find the attached file.

1. Please provide major English language revisions.

All right! I did it.

2. I suggest an extensive review and full compliance with the instructions to authors available on the website and review the manuscript accordingly. It seems more like a draft rather than the final copy of the manuscript.

Certainly.

Associate Editor 1:

Correct and complete description of statistical methods .Valid statistical methods used and assumptions outlined.In term of grammatical errors revised the paper completely.

For sure.

2 Revision 1

2 comments · 11 Apr 2023 – 11 Apr 2023

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 11 Apr 2023

Accept

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 11 Apr 2023

Accept