OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
Article DOI: 10.5812/zjrms.90569
Published in: Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: 22(3); e90569

Peer Review Report for "Structural Relationships Between Negative Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies and Symptoms of Internet Addiction: Mediating Role of Anxiety"

Author(s): Touraj Hashemi Nosratabad, Mansour Bayrami, Ali Shiri

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	29 Jul 2019
Revised Date:	16 Sep 2019
Accepted Date:	28 Sep 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 4 Aug 2019

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript needs major revision.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 7 Sep 2019

Dear EIC,
According to reviewer's, the article needs revision and corrections. Please see the suggestions and revised the article,
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 7 Sep 2019

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above

carefully according to reviewers' request.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reply to reviewer 1:

With great appreciation of your careful and valuble comments, the recommended points were checked and revised as demanded and are highlighted in red in the text. Regarding “mediating vaiable of anxiety”, We have searched extensively the related litrature and have not found any research on the mediating role of anxiety between emotion regulation strategies and internet addiction. Meanwhile, it is the newness of our study to investigate its mediating role between emotion regulation strategies and internet addiction.

With kind regards, Authors

Reply to reviewer 2:

With great thanks to your worthy comments, your recomendation was carried out and is highlighted in blue in the text.

With kind regards, Authors

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 17 Sep 2019

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 23 Sep 2019

Dear AE,
If the questionnaires are used before in Iran and their validity is confirmed, I ask the authors to use them as references.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 28 Sep 2019

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

Alireza Bakhshipour: EIC | **Revision** (1) 28 Sep 2019

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.