OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
Article DOI: 10.5812/zjrms.95795
Published in: Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: 23(2); e95795

Peer Review Report for "Delayed Replantation of Avulsed Teeth: A Case Report"

Author(s): Sahar Ameli, Karim Jafari, Firouz Zadfatah, Mehrdad Blurian, Somayeh Hekmatfar

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 2 Jul 2019
Revised Date: 12 Jan 2020
Accepted Date: 21 Jan 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 23 Sep 2019

Dear AE:
1- English writing needs to be revised
2-In page 5 line 2 , author said:tooth cleaned with hypo, what's the hypo?
Thanks.

Alireza Bakhshipour: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 24 Sep 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 24 Sep 2019

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this

document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor in chief:

I would like to thank you for your considering our manuscript.

We have read the comments and made revision as it possible.

Dear reviewer 1 thanks for your valuable comments.

Q: *English writing needs to be revised*

A: *We have revised the manuscript according to the academic english- writing.*

Q:*In page 5 line 2 , author said:tooth cleaned with hypo, what's the hypo?*

A: It means sodium hypochlorite. we have corrected and highlighted in the manuscript.

Your sincerely:

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 18 Jan 2020

Dear AE,
Corrections were applied.
Thanks.

Alireza Bakhshipour: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 21 Jan 2020

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

Alireza Bakhshipour: EIC | **Revision** (1) 21 Jan 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.