Published online 2021 July 18.

Research Article



The Crazy-Paving Pattern in Chest CT Imaging of COVID-19 Patients: An Alarming Sign for Hospitalization

Mehdi Gholamzadeh Baeis¹, Abolfazl Mozafari², Fatemeh Movaseghi², Mahdi Yadollahzadeh³, Ahmad Sohrabi ¹, Mandana Afsharpad ¹, and Mohammad Reza Masjedi ^{4,5,6,**}

Received 2021 January 26; Revised 2021 June 12; Accepted 2021 June 13.

Abstract

Background: The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a major threat to all humans.

Objectives: To assess the association between the patients' clinical and laboratory records, computed tomography (CT) findings, and epidemiological features of COVID-19 with the severity of the disease.

Patients and Methods: In this retrospective case-control study conducted on the medical records of confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients on admission, we investigated the CT manifestations and clinical and laboratory risk factors for progression to severe COVID-19 pneumonia. The medical records and radiological CT features of confirmed COVID-19 patients were reviewed in one public hospital and one respiratory clinic in Oom, Iran, from August 1 to September 30, 2020.

Results: Of 236 confirmed COVID-19 cases, 62 were infected with moderate to severe COVID-19 and required hospital admission, and 174 were followed-up on an outpatient basis. A significant difference was found in the mean age of the outpatient and hospitalized groups. The incidence of bilateral lung involvement, consolidations, linear opacities, crazy-paving pattern, air bronchogram, and number of lobes involved were significantly higher in the hospitalized group compared to the outpatient group. However, the crazy-paving pattern was only significantly associated with an oxygen saturation (SpO_2) level < 90% and, coughing. Our findings indicated that the crazy-paving pattern was significantly associated with the inflammatory phase. The presence of this pattern on admission, SpO_2 < 90%, older age, and diabetes were independent risk factors for progression to severe COVID-19.

Conclusion: The crazy-paving pattern can predict the severity of COVID-19, which is of great importance in the management and follow-up of COVID-19 pneumonia patients. Clinical factors, such as aging, male gender, and diabetes, may be risk factors for the crazy-paving pattern. Severe cough is the most important clinical sign related to this pattern, along with an $SpO_2 < 90\%$, which is an important sign of COVID-19 severity.

Keywords: COVID-19, Pneumonia, Computed Tomography (CT), Crazy-Paving Pattern

1. Background

Since December 2019 when the first human case of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was officially reported in Wuhan, China, the global spread of this disease has become a major threat to all humans, and the lives of millions of people are endangered around the world (1). Iran confirmed the COVID-19 pandemic on February 19, 2020, and Qom was one of the first metropolitan areas, reporting this disease. Overall, respiratory tract infections, caused by

the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), can range from a mild infection with a good prognosis to a severe disease that progresses to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in early stages, leading to hospitalization. The probability of progression to the severe form of COVID-19 has been estimated at 15.7% - 26.1% in all patients (2-4).

The primary management of patients at risk of COVID-19 progression may provide suitable treatment strategies and facilitate the optimized use of medical resources, espe-

¹Department of Radiology, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Medical Sciences, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran

³Firoozgar Medical and Educational Hospital Department, Internal Medicine School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Cancer Control Research Center, Cancer Control Foundation, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Tobacco Control Research Center (TCRC), Iranian Anti-tobacco Association, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Cancer Control Research Center, Cancer Control Foundation, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: m.afsharpad@gmail.com

cially today, when clinicians are facing a serious shortage of beds and hospital facilities. Computed tomography (CT) examination plays an important role in both initial screening and auxiliary diagnosis of COVID-19 (5). Although the nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) of the respiratory tract or sometimes blood specimens remains the gold standard method to confirm COVID-19 (6), both American and Chinese researchers strongly recommend CT imaging as the main modality for the diagnosis of COVID-19 during the current pandemic (7-10).

In this regard, a previous study conducted on a series of 51 patients with chest CT and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) within three days showed that the sensitivity of CT imaging for COVID-19 was 98% compared to RT-PCR (71% sensitivity) (P < 0.001) (11). Meanwhile, the close relationship between the extent of lung lesions and clinical symptoms makes this method irreplaceable for the preliminary screening of COVID-19 (7). Some clinicians also believe that the severity of COVID-19 and its progression can be best determined by CT imaging (7, 12, 13).

2. Objectives

In this retrospective, case-control study on the medical records of confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients on admission, we aimed to investigate the CT manifestations and clinical and laboratory risk factors associated with the severity of COVID-19 pneumonia and to assess the association of COVID-19 severity with the patients' clinical and laboratory records, CT findings, epidemiological features, and inflammation.

3. Patients and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Digestive Disease Research Institute of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. All authors in this study addressed the ethical considerations (including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancy). A retrospective review of the medical and radiological CT records of confirmed COVID-19 patients was performed in one public hospital and one respiratory clinic in Qom, Iran, from August 1 to September 30, 2020.

Patients who required oxygen therapy (either invasive or non-invasive ventilation), or humidified nasal high-flow oxygen therapy (OptiflowTM), or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, along with patients who expired due to

the disease, were classified in the severe group, while others who did not require any special medical care were managed as outpatients. The exclusion criteria were as follows: negative CT findings; insufficient chest CT image quality for image analysis; CT examination beyond seven days after the onset of symptoms; history of other lung infections or lobectomy; and missing clinical data in the medical files.

The clinical symptoms, recent exposure history, demographic information, comorbidities along with the used medications, and CT examinations on admission were recorded from the patients' clinical history. Laboratory results, including complete blood count, blood chemical analysis, coagulation test, C-reactive protein (CRP) level, lactate dehydrogenase, and creatine kinase, were also obtained from the patients' clinical history. CT scans were uniformly obtained in one radiological center from the apex to the lung base in the supine position, with the subject holding hands above the head while holding the breath, if possible. Imaging was performed on either a 16slice Siemens Somatom Emotion system (Siemens Healthineers, Germany) or a 16-slice GE Optima 540 system (GE Healthcare, USA), with or without intravenous administration of iodinated contrast material.

All CT examinations were performed at a tube voltage of 100 - 120 kV, pitch of 0.8 - 1.5, automatic tube current of 120 mA, and slice thickness of 3 - 5 mm. All images were transferred and saved in a picture archiving and communication system (PACS). The lung window was set at a window level of -500 Hounsfield units (HU) and a width of 1500 HU and at a mediastinal level of 45 HU and a width of 400 HU. An independent expert chest radiologist and pulmonologist, blinded to the patients' clinical history and primary interpretation of the original radiological center, interpreted all CT examinations again, based on the recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee of the Fleischner Society (14). In case of any inconsistency, a third radiologist (with 25 years of experience in pulmonary imaging diagnosis) reviewed the case for confirmation.

The reported lung abnormalities involved: (1) Distribution: presence of bilateral or unilateral, central or peripheral, and single or multiple abnormalities; (2) type of opacities: presence of consolidations (rounded or subpleural bands or areas of consolidation), crazy-paving pattern, ground-glass opacity (GGO) (nodular or areas of GGO); (3) opacity morphology: linear or round; (4) internal structures: air-bronchogram, cavitation, emphysema, and fibrosis; (5) position and number of lobes involving different opacities; (6) pleural thickening, pleural effusion, and

pleural retraction; and (7) thoracic lymphadenopathy (\geq 10 mm in the short-axis dimension).

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical variables are expressed as frequency, proportion ratio, and percentage and compared by Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. The level of statistical significance (two-sided P-value) was considered to be less than 0.05. Quantitative variables are described as mean (standard deviation [SD]), since they are normally distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnov D test; $P \geq 0.05$) and compared by parametric t-test. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Among 236 confirmed COVID-19 cases (mean age [SD]: 51.08 [13.80] years; range: 18-84 years; 53.3% male), 62 (mean age [SD]: 54.86 [14.88] years; range: 29 - 83 years; 57.1% male) were infected with moderate to severe COVID-19 and required hospital admission, while 174 mild cases with a mean age of 49.8 years (SD: 13.24; range: 18 - 84 years; 52.1% male) were followed-up on an outpatient basis. The GGO was a common chest CT feature in both outpatient and hospitalized groups, without any significant difference (96.0% of outpatients vs. 100% of hospitalized patients) (Table 1).

Bilateral lung involvement, which was present in 80.5% of cases, was significantly higher in the hospitalized group compared to the outpatient group (93.5% vs. 75.9%) (Table 1). The incidence of consolidation, linear opacities, crazypaving pattern, air bronchogram sign, and number of involved lobes were also significantly higher in the hospitalized group (Table 1). However, only the crazy-paving pattern was significantly associated with the inflammatory level and hypoxemia (normal vs. high CRP: OR, 0.237; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.061 - 0.919; SpO₂ < 90% in room air at rest: OR, 0.217; 95% CI, 0.074 - 0.639). Although no significant difference was observed in the ratio of men to women between the outpatient and hospitalized groups, the crazy-paving pattern was more frequent among men, with a significant difference based on chi-square test (P < 0.05; OR: 0.408; 95% CI: 0.211 - 0.788) (Table 2).

We also found that the crazy-paving pattern was significantly more common among older patients (OR for age > 50 years = 2.684; 95% CI: 1.408 - 5.117) and patients with diabetes (OR: 2.102; 95% CI: 1.015 - 4.352) (Table 2). Most clinical symptoms were similar between COVID-19 patients with a

crazy-paving pattern and those without this pattern, except for severe cough (OR: 2.529; 95% CI: 1.008 - 6.344) and palpitations (Table 3).

Regarding the laboratory findings, the increased level of CRP, neutrophil count, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) were significantly higher among patients with a crazy-paving pattern in their chest CT scan (Table 4). A decrease in lymphocyte count was observed in 41.7% of patients with the crazy-paving pattern in chest CT scans. Further details about differences in each variable between the two hospitalized and outpatient groups and between patients with and without the crazy-paving pattern on CT scans on admission can be found in Appendix 1-4 in Supplementary File.

5. Discussion

Our findings indicated that the crazy-paving pattern in a radiological chest CT scan can predict the severity of COVID-19. The crazy-paving pattern is a radiological sign, which is characterized by the presence of scattered or diffuse ground-glass attenuation with a linear pattern superimposed on the chest CT image, resembling irregular paving stones (Figure 1) (14). The linear pattern is associated with interlobular septal thickening and intralobular lines and may be also caused by the presence of intralobular fibrosis or a linear deposition of material within the airspaces (14). Although the crazy-paving pattern was initially described as a pathognomonic sign of alveolar proteinosis, today, it is considered as a non-specific pattern, reported in different diffuse acute and chronic lung diseases, including bacterial and viral pulmonary infections and adult ARDS (14, 15).

Although it is considered a non-specific radiological sign, if there is a crazy-paving pattern, the chest CT features are labeled as "interstitial pneumonia" at least in the early stages (16). Meanwhile, radiological features suggest that the crazy-paving pattern is no longer present in the recovery stage; as we observed in this study, it is inevitably a sign of disease progression (17). Although pathological findings underlying this radiological feature are not clearly defined, some researchers suggest that this pattern may be correlated with the hyperplasia of interlobular and intralobular interstitial tissues (16, 18). Therefore, we recommend that patients with such radiological manifestations be discharged with more caution.

The overall distribution of COVID-19 patients with a crazy-paving pattern is approximately 5% - 36% in differ-

Table 1. The Radiological Computed Tomography (CT) Features of COVID-19 Patients Based on the Disease Severity^{a, b}

Characteristics	All patients (n = 236)	Disease severity		- OR (95% CI)	P-value
		Outpatients (n = 174)	Hospitalized patients (n = 62)	OK (95% CI)	r-value
Involved lung sides				4.614 (1.581 - 13.465)	0.003*
Unilateral	19.5	24.1	6.5		
Bilateral	80.5	75.9	93.5		
Number of lobes involved					< 0.00
1	13.1	16.1	4.8		
2	14.8	19.5	1.6	0.107 (0.030 - 0.381)	
3	17.8	19.5	12.9	0.029 (0.004 - 0.225)	
4	20.3	21.8	16.1	0.235 (0.097 - 0.571)	
5	33.9	23.0	64.5	0.263 (0.116 - 0.599)	
ung involvement					
Peripheral	98.3	98.3	98.4	0.934 (0.095 - 9.154)	1.000
Both peripheral and central	51.3	40.8	80.6	0.172 (0.084 - 0.354)	< 0.00
Central	53.8	43.9	82.0	0.165 (0.082 - 0.333)	< 0.00
rominent				0.762 (0.205 - 2.827)	1.000
Peripheral	94.0	93.6	95.1	0.762 (0.205 - 2.827)	
Central	6.0	6.4	4.9		
ulmonary lesion type					
GGO	97.0	96.0	100	**	0.195
Crazy-paving pattern	23.6	16.3	44.3	0.249 (0.130 - 0.474)	< 0.00
Consolidation	32.5	26.0	50.8	0.340 (0.186 - 0.624)	< 0.00
Nodules	0.4	0.6	-	**	1.000
Air bronchogram	42.3	36.4	59.0	0.398 (0.219 - 0.722)	0.002
Linear morphology	40.6	31.8	65.6	0.245 (0.132 - 0.454)	< 0.00
Round morphology	93.1	95.3	86.9	3.094 (1.107 - 8.648)	0.037
Pleural effusion	1.7	1.2	3.3	0.347 (0.048 - 2.519)	0.281
Lymphadenopathy	0.4	0.6	-	**	1.000
Cavitation	0.9	1.2	-	**	1.000
Fibrosis	0.9	1.2	-	**	1.000
Emphysema	0.4	0.6	-	**	1.000

Abbreviations: GGO, ground-glass opacity; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

ent studies (12). In the present study, we observed a significantly higher incidence of the crazy-paving pattern in hospitalized patients (OR: 4.084; 95% CI: 2.138-7.803); this manifestation can be an alarming sign in the management of patients and is consistent with a recently published study from China (13). According to pathological information about SARS, the crazy-paving pattern in COVID-19 may be also caused by alveolar edema and interstitial inflamma-

tion in acute lung injuries (19, 20). Therefore, as previously discussed, it can be a sign of COVID-19 progression or peak (21). Around 18% of patients with the crazy-paving pattern presented with hypoxemia ($SpO_2 < 90\%$ in room air at rest), while hypoxemia occurred in only 4.8% of patients with no signs of this pattern.

It is known that hypoxemia is an alarming sign and a cause of concern for both patients and physicians, espe-

^aCategorical variables are reported as percentage.

b*, A two-sided P-value less than 0.05 is marked as the level of significance; **, the OR is incomputable due to the presence of zero values.

 Table 2. The Demographic Data, Comorbidities, and Medications of COVID-19 Patients Based on the Presence of the Crazy-Paving Pattern in CT Scans^{a, b}

Characteristics	All patients (n =	Patients		OR (95% CI)	P-value
		With a crazy-paving pattern (n = 55)	Without a crazy - paving pattern (n = 181)	UK(95% CI)	r-value
Age, mean (SD)	51.08 (13.805)	56.79 (13.759)	49.17 (13.396)	**	< 0.001
Age, range	18 - 90	31 - 84	18 - 83	**	
Gender				2.480 (1.284 - 4.789)	0.007*
Male	53.3	69.8	48.5		
Female	46.7	30.2	51.5		
Hospitalized	26.3	49.1	19.1	0.249 (0.130 - 0.474)	< 0.00
BMI group					
Normal	26.3	33.3	24.8	0.667 (0.274 - 1.620)	0.514
Overweight	47.4	42.2	49.1		
Obese	26.3	24.4	26.1	1.053 (0.460 - 2.411)	
Contact with an infected person	31.4	22.6	34.7	1.769 (0.864 - 3.625)	0.100
Comorbidities					
Hypertension	25.2	25.0	24.6	1.016 (0.496 - 2.080)	0.948
Diabetes	18.9	28.8	16.2	0.466 (0.225 - 0.964)	0.043
Hypothyroidism	7.2	3.8	8.4	2.244 (0.493 - 10.212)	0.370
Rheumatoid arthritis	5.9	1.9	7.2	3.873 (0.492 - 30.521)	0.310
Asthma	6.3	3.8	7.2	1.899 (0.411 - 8.772)	0.527
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	4.5	2	5.4	2.795 (0.346 - 22.602)	0.459
Kidney disease	5.0	3.8	5.4	1.398 (0.292 - 6.682)	1.0000
Open heart surgery	3.2	-	4.2	**	0.202
Cancer	1.8	-	1.8	**	1.0000
Smoking	16.4	9.1	18.2	2.127 (0.782 - 5.788)	0.143
Chemotherapy	1.8	-	1.8	**	1.0000
Oral corticosteroid spray	17.0	21.6	15.2	0.671 (0.305 - 1.474)	0.291
Nasal corticosteroid spray	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.210 (0.132 - 11.078)	1.0000
Systemic corticosteroids	10.1	11.8	9.1	0.795 (0.294 - 2.151)	0.789
Total corticosteroid consumption history	21.7	27.5	19.6	0.656 (0.318 - 1.352)	0.235
Chloroquine consumption history	10.6	19.6	7.4	0.348 (0.143 - 0.851)	0.012*
ACEI1 consumption history	2.8	4.0	2.5	0.596 (0.106 - 3.356)	0.628
ARB2 consumption history	21.8	24.0	20.2	0.846 (0.400 - 1.788)	0.569
Metformin consumption history	13.8	21.6	11.7	0.470 (0.207 - 1.068)	0.075
Influenza vaccination in recent years	7.7	10.9	6.3	0.597 (0.196 - 1.816)	0.335
Pneumococcal vaccination in recent years	1.4	2.2	1.3	0.559 (0.050 - 6.306)	0.533

 $Abbreviations: OR, odds\ ratio; BMI, body\ mass\ index; CI\ , confidence\ interval.$

^aCategorical variables are reported as percentage.

^{b*}, A two-sided P-value less than 0.05 is marked as the level of significance; **, the OR is incomputable due to the presence of zero values or a quantitative variable; 1, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, such as captopril (Capoten), enalapril (Epaned or Vasotec), and lisinopril (Zestril or Prinivil); 2, recall of angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), including Valsartan, Losartan, and Irbesartan.

Table 3. The Clinical Signs and Symptoms of COVID-19 Patients Based on the Presence of the Crazy-Paving Pattern in CT Scans^{a, b}

Clinical signs and symptoms	All patients (n = 236)	Patients		— OR (95% CI)	P-value
		With a crazy-paving pattern (n = 55)	Without a crazy - paving pattern (n = 181)	- OK (33% CI)	r-varuc
Weakness	82.1	79.2	83.0	1.282 (0.591 - 2.783)	0.541
Cough	79.0	88.7	76.0	0.405 (0.161 - 0.998)	0.048*
Shortness of breath	72.6	78.8	70.8	0.649 (0.309 - 1.364)	0.252
Anorexia	74.1	83.0	71.3	0.509 (0.231 - 1.122)	0.090
Fatigue	76.4	73.3	77.2	1.232 (0.577 - 2.630	0.589
Body pain	71.0	79.2	68.4	0.567 (0.271 - 1.187)	0.129
Fever	63.8	66.0	63.2	0.882 (0.461-1.685)	0.703
Headache	63.1	54.9	65.5	1.559 (0.826 - 2.943)	0.169
Sweating	69.1	63.5	70.8	1.393 (0.725 - 2.679)	0.319
Shiver	58.0	60.4	57.3	0.881 (0.470 - 1.651)	0.693
Nausea	44.2	37.7	46.2	1.417 (0.753 - 2.664)	0.278
Palpitations	43.4	28.8	47.9	2.270 (1.160 - 4.444)	0.015*
Loss of taste	37.3	27.5	40.2	1.779 (0.895 - 3.539)	0.098
Loss of smell	35.3	26.9	37.9	1.654 (0.832 - 3.289)	0.149
Stomach problems	35.7	30.8	37.3	1.337 (0.687 - 2.604)	0.414
Sputum	30.3	34.6	29.0	0.771 (0.398 - 1.494)	0.441
Diarrhea	28.6	25.5	29.6	1.228 (0.603 - 2.501)	0.571
Sore throat	29.4	25.0	30.8	1.333 (0.657 - 2.705)	0.384
Bruises	23.8	26.7	23.0	0.821 (0.385 - 1.747)	0.608
Vomiting	19.5	19.2	19.6	1.027 (0.467 - 2.257)	0.948
Blurred vision	19.5	23.1	18.3	0.749 (0.352 - 1.591)	0.451
Coughing up blood	2.3	1.9	2.4	1.236 (0.135 - 11.312)	1.0000

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

cially in the decision-making process for managing hospitalization. The clinical factors of aging, male gender, and diabetes may be risk factors for the crazy-paving pattern; this may be attributed to the patient's weakened immune system function and comorbidities with other infections, especially in diabetic patients. Severe cough seems to be the most important clinical sign related to the crazy-paving pattern, which may be caused by the inflammatory effects on the pleura. According to a hypothesis, severe dry cough in COVID-19 patients with the crazy-paving pattern may be explained by the high viscosity of the mucus and damage to dilated bronchioles, leading to insufficient sputum motility (12).

Almost 42% of patients with a crazy-paving pattern in chest CT scans also had reduced lymphocyte counts, in-

dicating immune function inhibition due to the reduced number of immune cells. Damage to lymphocytes has been associated with disease exacerbation in patients with COVID-19 and has been introduced as an important index for the evaluation of disease severity (22, 23). The increased neutrophil count and CRP in patients with a crazy-paving pattern may be also related to the cytokine storm, induced by viral invasion, as mentioned in various studies (22, 24).

The GGO was the most common CT finding among COVID-19 pneumonia patients, with no significant difference between the hospitalized and outpatient groups. The bilateral distribution of GGO, with or without consolidation in the posterior and peripheral lungs, was initially described as the cardinal hallmark of COVID-19 (3, 25). On the other hand, consolidation was significantly more frequent

^aCategorical variables are reported as percentage.

b*, A two-sided P-value less than 0.05 is marked as the level of significance.



 $\textbf{Figure 1.} \ \text{The crazy-paving pattern.} \ \text{The crazy-paving pattern can be observed in the left upper lobe}.$

in severe cases, probably due to the presence of inflammatory exudates in the alveoli. Moreover, in a review study of chest CT manifestations of COVID-19, consolidation was an indication of disease progression (12).

In conclusion, our findings suggest that the crazy-paving pattern is significantly associated with the inflammatory levels. The presence of this pattern on admission, an $SpO_2 < 90\%$, older age, and diabetes were independent risk factors for the severity and progression of COVID-19.

We believe that this pattern has the potential to predict the risk of COVID-19 progression and can be used as a guide for designing a decision-making model for hospitalization.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Acknowledgments

All authors would like to thank Qom medical staff for their great contribution and efforts.

Footnotes

Authors' Contributions: MRM was the key contributor in conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, writing, and project administration. MGB was a major contributor in imaging methodology and interpretation. AM was a major contributor in resources, conceptualization, methodology, review, and supervision. FM was a major contributor in resources, methodology, review, editing, and supervision. MY was a major contributor in conceptualization, methodology, validation, review, and editing. AS, was a major contributor in formal analysis, data curation, analysis, and interpretation. MA was a major contributor in project administration, data curation, analysis, and interpretation writing, original draft preparation. The final version of the manuscript was reviewed by all authors for accuracy and completeness. All authors concur with the submission and have seen a draft copy of the manuscript and agree with its publication.

Conflict of Interests: All authors had access to the study data, and none of them had any conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Digestive Disease Research Institute of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. All authors addressed all ethical considerations completely (including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancy).

Funding/Support: This retrospective, cross-sectional, multi-center study received a fund by the Cancer Control Research Center of Cancer Control Foundation, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (project no.: CCF990001).

References

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(8):727-33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017. [PubMed: 31978945]. [PubMed Central: PMC7092803].
- Lu QB, Zhang Y, Liu MJ, Zhang HY, Jalali N, Zhang AR, et al. Epidemiological parameters of COVID-19 and its implication for infectivity among patients in China, 1 January to 11 February 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(40). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.40.2000250. [PubMed: 33034281]. [PubMed Central: PMC7545819].

- 3. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020;**323**(11):1061–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585. [PubMed: 32031570]. [PubMed Central: PMC7042881].
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):1708–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032. [PubMed: 32109013]. [PubMed Central: PMC7092819].
- Koo HJ, Lim S, Choe J, Choi SH, Sung H, Do KH. Radiographic and CT Features of Viral Pneumonia. *Radiographics*. 2018;38(3):719–39. doi: 10.1148/rg.2018170048. [PubMed: 29757717].
- Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(3). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045. [PubMed: 31992387]. [PubMed Central: PMC6988269].
- Dai WC, Zhang HW, Yu J, Xu HJ, Chen H, Luo SP, et al. CT Imaging and Differential Diagnosis of COVID-19. *Can Assoc Radiol J.* 2020;71(2):195–200. doi: 10.1177/0846537120913033. [PubMed: 32129670]. [PubMed Central: PMC7140975].
- Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor Recognition by the Novel Coronavirus from Wuhan: an Analysis Based on Decade-Long Structural Studies of SARS Coronavirus. *J Virol*. 2020;94(7). doi: 10.1128/JVI.00127-20. [PubMed: 31996437]. [PubMed Central: PMC7081895].
- Xie X, Zhong Z, Zhao W, Zheng C, Wang F, Liu J. Chest CT for Typical Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pneumonia: Relationship to Negative RT-PCR Testing. *Radiology*. 2020;296(2):E41-5. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200343. [PubMed: 32049601]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233363].
- Huang P, Liu T, Huang L, Liu H, Lei M, Xu W, et al. Use of Chest CT in Combination with Negative RT-PCR Assay for the 2019 Novel Coronavirus but High Clinical Suspicion. *Radiology*. 2020;295(1):22-3. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200330. [PubMed: 32049600]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233360].
- Fang Y, Zhang H, Xie J, Lin M, Ying L, Pang P, et al. Sensitivity of Chest CT for COVID-19: Comparison to RT-PCR. *Radiology*. 2020;296(2):E115–7. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200432. [PubMed: 32073353]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233365].
- Ye Z, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Huang Z, Song B. Chest CT manifestations of new coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a pictorial review. *Eur Ra-diol*. 2020;30(8):4381–9. doi: 10.1007/s00330-020-06801-0. [PubMed: 32193638]. [PubMed Central: PMC7088323].
- Feng Z, Yu Q, Yao S, Luo L, Zhou W, Mao X, et al. Early prediction of disease progression in COVID-19 pneumonia patients with chest CT and clinical characteristics. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):4968. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18786-x. [PubMed: 33009413]. [PubMed Central: PMC7532528].
- De Wever W, Meersschaert J, Coolen J, Verbeken E, Verschakelen JA. The crazy-paving pattern: a radiological-pathological correlation. *Insights Imaging*. 2011;2(2):117–32. doi: 10.1007/s13244-010-0060-5. [PubMed: 22347941]. [PubMed Central: PMC3259383].
- Ehab A, Braga RI. The Clinical Significance of a 'Crazy-Paving' Pattern on Chest Radiology. Eur J Case Rep Intern Med. 2018;5(12):978. doi: 10.12890/2018_000978. [PubMed: 30755996]. [PubMed Central: PMC6346976].
- Boraschi P. COVID-19 Pulmonary Involvement: Is Really an Interstitial Pneumonia? Acad Radiol. 2020;27(6):900. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2020.04.010. [PubMed: 32312654]. [PubMed Central: PMC7158787].

- Pan F, Ye T, Sun P, Gui S, Liang B, Li L, et al. Time Course of Lung Changes at Chest CT during Recovery from Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Radiology. 2020;295(3):715-21. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200370. [PubMed: 32053470]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233367].
- Guan CS, Lv ZB, Yan S, Du YN, Chen H, Wei LG, et al. Imaging Features of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Evaluation on Thin-Section CT. Acad Radiol. 2020;27(5):609–13. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2020.03.002. [PubMed: 32204990]. [PubMed Central: PMC7156158].
- Wu J, Wu X, Zeng W, Guo D, Fang Z, Chen L, et al. Chest CT Findings in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Its Relationship With Clinical Features. *Invest Radiol*. 2020;55(5):257-61. doi: 10.1097/RLI.00000000000000670. [PubMed: 32091414]. [PubMed Central: PMC7147284].
- Wong KT, Antonio GE, Hui DS, Lee N, Yuen EH, Wu A, et al. Thin-section CT of severe acute respiratory syndrome: evaluation of 73 patients exposed to or with the disease. *Radiology*. 2003;228(2):395–400. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2283030541. [PubMed: 12738877].
- Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, Zhan C, Chen C, Lv W, et al. Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. Radiology. 2020;296(2):E32-40.

- doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200642. [PubMed: 32101510]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233399].
- Li K, Wu J, Wu F, Guo D, Chen L, Fang Z, et al. The Clinical and Chest CT Features Associated With Severe and Critical COVID-19 Pneumonia. *Invest Radiol.* 2020;55(6):327–31. doi: 10.1097/RLI.00000000000000672. [PubMed: 32118615]. [PubMed Central: PMC7147273].
- Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(4):420-2. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30076-X. [PubMed: 32085846]. [PubMed Central: PMC7164771].
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):507–13. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7. [PubMed: 32007143]. [PubMed Central: PMC7135076].
- Chung M, Bernheim A, Mei X, Zhang N, Huang M, Zeng X, et al. CT Imaging Features of 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Radiology*. 2020;295(1):202-7. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200230. [PubMed: 32017661]. [PubMed Central: PMC7194022].

 $\textbf{Table 4.} \ Clinical \ and \ Laboratory \ Findings \ of \ COVID-19 \ Patients \ Based \ on \ the \ Presence \ of \ the \ Crazy-Paving \ Pattern \ in \ CT \ Scans^{a, b}$

Characteristics	All	Patients		— OR (95% CI)	P-value
	Patients (n = 236)	With a crazy-paving pattern (N = 55)	Without a crazy-paving pattern (N = 181)	– OR (95% CI)	P-varue
Clinical findings					
Pulse rate range				1.051 (0.512 - 2.160)	1.000
60 - 100 signals per min	68.1	68.9	68.5		
> 100	31.4	31.1	30.8		
< 60	0.5		0.7		
SpO_2				0.217 (0.074 - 0.639)	0.007*
≥ 90	92.1	81.4	95.2		
< 90	7.9	18.6	4.8		
Respiratory rate	7.5	10.0	1.0	2.571 (0.598 - 11.059)	0.200
12 - 30	100	100	100	2.3/1 (0.336 - 11.033)	0.200
> 30	100	100	100		
Blood pressure				1.733 (0.140 - 21.387)	1.000
Normal	90.3	92.9	88.2	1.733 (0.140 - 21.387)	1.000
> 14	90.3	92.9 7.1	88.2 11.8		
Laboratory findings	9.7	7.1	11.0		
					6.22
White blood cell count 4000 - 11000	75.0	70.2	74.2		0.280
	76.0	79.3		1.739 (0.427 - 7.084)	
< 4000	14.6	6.9	18.2	4.000 (0.655, 35.100)	
> 11000	9.4	13.8	7.6	4.800 (0.655 - 35.198)	
Lymphocyte count				0.516 (0.187 - 1.426)	0.218
1000 - 4800	68.4	58.3	72.5		
< 1000	31.6	41.7	27.5		
Neutrophils				0.289 (0.045 - 1.846)	0.210
1500 - 8000	83.3	73.3	90.5		
> 8000	16.7	26.7	9.5		
Red blood cells				0.240 (0.051 - 1.119)	0.063
4.7 - 6.1 in males					
4.2 - 5.4 in females	46.7	28.6	62.5		
Low	53.3	71.4	37.5		
Hemoglobin				**	1.000
13.5 - 17.5 in males					
12 - 15.5 in females	75.8	75.9	75.4		
Low	22.1	24.1	21.5		
High	2.1		3.1		
Platelet count				**	1.000
150.000 - 450.000	78.1	79.3	77.3		1.000
< 150.000	19.8	20.7	19.7		
> 450.000	2.1		3.0		
CRP				**	0.017*
-	31.3	13.6	40.0		
+	20.9	13.6	24.4		
++	17.9	18.2	17.8		
+++	28.4	50.0	17.8		
++++	1.5	4.5	-		
ESR				0.114 (0.023 - 0.557)	0.002*
Normal	10.2	11.1	53.7		
High	15.3	88.9	46.3		
Lactate dehydrogenase			-30	**	0.485
	10.5		10.3		0.485
140 - 280 > 280	10.5 89.5	100	18.2 81.8		
	89.5	100	01.0	0.770 (0.444 5.457)	1000
Blood urea nitrogen				0.778 (0.111 - 5.457)	1.000
7-20	16.1	14.3	17.6		

> 20	83.9	85.7	82.4		
Creatinine				1.422 (0.328-6.174)	0.638
0.7 - 1.2 in males					
0.5 - 1 in females	56.7	61.5	52.9		
High	43.3	38.5	47.1		
Mean corpuscular volume				0.667 (0.35 - 12.840)	1.000
80 - 96	88.2	85.7	90.0		
> 96	11.8	14.3	10.0		
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin				**	1.000
27-33	93.8	100	90.0		
< 27	6.3	-	10.0		

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; OR, odds ratio; SpO₂, oxygen saturation; CI, confidence interval.

^aEach categorical variable is presented as percentage

^b*, A two-sided P-value less than 0.05 is marked as the level of significance; **, the OR is incomputable due to the presence of zero values